

219 North Main Street, Suite 402 Barre, VT 05641 (p) 802-479-1030 | (f) 802-479-1835

## **Administrative Review Summary**

Section 207 of the HHKFA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State Agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) require the State Agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State Agency's publicly available website. It is the policy of the Vermont State Agency to provide each SFA with review findings at the exit conference.

School Food Authority Name: <b>Hartford Town School District</b> Self-operated   Food Service Management Company Contract   Schools/Sites Reviewed: Dothan Brook School, Ottauquechee School, White River School Date(s) of Administrative Review: 11/6/2018 – 11/7/2018  Date review summary was publicly posted: <b>November 30, 2018</b>					
Gener	al Program Participation				
1.	What Child Nutrition Programs doe	es the School Food Authority operate?			
	School Breakfast Program				
	National School Lunch Program	$\boxtimes$			
	Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program	$\boxtimes$			
	Afterschool Snack				
	Special Milk Program				
	Seamless Summer Option				
2.	Does the School Food Authority ope	erate under any Special Provisions? (These provisions			
	are an alternative to household applicat areas.)	ions for free and reduced price meals in high poverty			
	Community Eligibility Provision				
	Special Provision 1				
	Special Provision 2				
	Special Provision 3				
	N/A	$\boxtimes$			

## **Review Findings**

3.	Commendations: No errors were identified in the certification and benefit issuance process. It is rare that we do not find any errors in this area, and we commend Nancy Raymond for her exceptional work in managing this important process.			
4.	Were	any findings identified during the review of this SFA? $\boxtimes$ YES $\square$ NO If yes, indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the findings review below.		
		REVIEW FINDINGS		
		Teal Access and Reimbursement  Certification and Benefit Issuance – Validation of the SFA certification of students' eligibility for free or reduced-price meals  YES □ NO⊠  Finding Detail:		
	2.	Verification – Validation of the process used by the SFA to confirm selected students' eligibility for free and reduced-price meals  YES □ NO⊠  Finding Detail:		
	3.	Meal Counting and Claiming – Validation of the SFA meal counting and claiming system that accurately counts, records, consolidates, and reports the number of reimbursable meals claimed by category YES ☒ NO□ Finding Detail:		
		Two free breakfast meals were over claimed. There was no definitive system for teachers in the classroom to indicate that a student received a reimbursable meal when breakfast is served in the classroom.		
	B. M	eal Pattern and Nutritional Quality		
		Meal Components and Quantities – Validation that meals claimed for reimbursement contain the required meal components / food components and quantities  YES ⊠ NO□		
		Finding Detail:		



Production records were not being completed correctly.

	2.	Offer versus Serve (OVS) – Validation of SFA compliance with provision that allows students to decline some of the food components offered YES □ NO⊠ Finding Detail:	
	3.	<b>Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis</b> – Validation that meals offered to children through the school meal programs are consistent with federal standards <b>YES</b> □ <b>NO</b> ☑ <b>Finding Detail:</b>	
C.	General Program Compliance		
	1.	Civil Rights – Validation of SFA compliance with civil rights requirements as applicable to the Child Nutrition Programs  YES ☒ NO□  Finding Detail:	
		Not all program staff have received the USDA Child Nutrition Civil Rights training. Documentation for accommodations for students with special dietary needs is incomplete.	
	2.	SFA On-site Monitoring − Validation that each SFA with more than one school operating the NSLP performs required onsite reviews as specified by regulations YES □ NO⊠ Finding Detail:	
	3.	Local School Wellness Policy and School Meal Environment– Documentation of compliance with the established Local School Wellness Policy YES □ NO⊠ Finding Detail:	
	4.	Smart Snacks in School – Validation of the SFA compliance with regulations for all food and beverages to students outside of the reimbursable meal YES □ NO⊠ Finding Detail:	



standards and annual training requirements

**5. Professional Standards** – Validation of the SFA compliance with required hiring

	YES ⊠ NO□ Finding Detail:
	Not all staff have met the required USDA professional standard requirements.
6.	Water – Documentation that children have access to water during the lunch and breakfast meal services YES □ NO⊠ Finding Detail:
7.	Food Safety and Storage – Validation that schools meet food safety and storage requirements YES ⊠ NO□ Finding Detail:
	HACCP processes were not being followed and temperatures were not being recorded properly.
8.	Buy American – Documentation that schools comply with Buy American provision and policy specified by regulation YES ⊠ NO□ Finding Detail:
	Non-domestic products were identified during the onsite review that did not have proper exception documentation.
9.	Reporting and Recordkeeping – Evidence that reports are submitted and maintained with other program records as required YES □ NO⊠ Finding Detail:
10.	School Breakfast Program and Summer Food Service Program Outreach − Validation that SFA informs families of the availability of breakfasts offered under the School Breakfast Program and meals offered through the Sumer Food Service Program  YES □ NO⊠  Finding Detail:



D.	Otl 1.	her Federal Program Reviews The NSLP Afterschool Snack Service – Documentation that nutritionally-
		balanced snacks are served, appropriate educational or enrichment activities are provided and counting and claiming is accurate.  YES □ NO□ N/A ☑  Finding Detail:
	2.	Seamless Summer Option – Evidence that the SFA adheres to the same meal service rules and claiming procedures used during the regular school year YES □ NO □ N/A ☒ Finding Detail:
	3.	Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program – Validation that participating schools increase children's exposure to and consumption of a variety of fruits and vegetables and operate the program as prescribed  YES ☒ NO☐ N/A☐  Finding Detail:
		Proper HACCP processes were not being followed. The FFVP program was not widely publicized. Non-compliant dip was served. FFVP leftovers were sent home with students.
	4.	<b>Special Milk Program</b> – Documentation that the SFA is operating the program in compliance with regulatory requirements and in accordance with the State Agency approved agreement $ \textbf{YES} \; \Box \; \textbf{NO} \Box \; \textbf{N/A} \boxtimes $ <b>Finding Detail:</b>
<ul><li>E. Resource Management</li><li>1. Resource Management – Validation that SFA ensures the ove</li></ul>		<b>Resource Management</b> – Validation that SFA ensures the overall financial health
	_•	of the school food service including non-profit food service account, paid lunch
		equity, revenue from non-program foods and indirect costs



YES □ NO⊠ Finding Detail: