Local Annual Performance Report

School Year 2018-2019

SU015 Burlington SD

Data Administration and Reporting Team (802) 828-0515



Local Annual Performance Report School Year 2018-2019

A description of how data were calculated for each indicator can be found by clicking on the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: Not applicable to this Supervisory Union or No data

***: Suppressed due to counts <5

OSEP: U.S. Department of Education's Office of Special Education Programs

Indicator 1: Graduation Rate - Performance Indicator

Data Source: DC#06 and DC#04

Note: Per OSEP instructions, this data is from 2017-2018 school year.

	SU Data	State Actual	SU & State Target	SU Target Met?
Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma. Calculated as 4 year, 5 year and 6 year cohorts. Reported data is 6 year cohort.	56.25%	79.88%	≥ 86.00%	No

Indicator 2: <u>Drop-Out Rate</u> – Performance Indicator

Data Source: Child Count and Child Count Exiting Collections

Note: Per OSEP instructions, this data is from 2017-2018 school year.

	SU Data	State	SU & State	SU
		Actual	Target	Target
				Met?
Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school	3.09%	3.05%	≤ 3.20%	Yes

Indicator 3: <u>Assessment</u> – Performance Indicator

Data Source: Assessment data and DC#04

	SU Data	State	SU &	SU Target
		Actual*	State	Met?
			Target	
A. Reserved.	NA	NA	NA	NA
B. Participation rate for children with IEPs for Reading assessments	92.76%	95.01%	≥ 99.25%	No
B. Participation rate for children with IEPs for Math assessments	93.59%	95.11%	≥ 99.25%	No
C. Proficiency percentage for children with IEPs on Reading assessments	12.01%	14.05%	≥ 12.25%	No
C. Proficiency percentage for children with IEPs on Math assessments	9.52%	10.42%	≥ 7.35%	Yes

^{*:} State actual data has been revised since Vermont SPP/APR submission



Indicator 4A: <u>Suspension/Expulsion</u> – Performance Indicator

Data Source: DC#04

Note: Per OSEP instructions, this data is from 2017-2018 school year.

	SU Data	State	SU &	SU Target
		Actual	State	Met?
			Target	
Was the district identified by the State as having a significant				
discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of children	No	0.00%	No	Yes
with disabilities for greater than 10 days in a school year?				

Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion by race or ethnicity - Compliance Indicator

Data Source: DC#04

Note: Per OSEP instructions, this data is from 2017-2018 school year.

	SU Data	State	SU &	SU Target
		Actual	State	Met?
			Target	
Was the district identified by the State as having a significant				
discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children				
with IEPs and policies, procedures or practices that contribute to	No	0.00%	No	Yes
the significant discrepancy and do not comply with				
requirements?				

Indicator 5: School Age LRE – Performance Indicator

Data Source: Child Count

Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served:	SU Data	State	SU &	SU Target
		Actual	State	Met?
			Target	
A. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day (SU data must be equal or greater than State Target).	74.21%	77.99%	≥ 79.00%	No
B. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day (SU data must be less than or equal to State Target).	1.49%	4.51%	≤7.00%	Yes
C. In separate schools, residential facilities or homebound / hospital placements (SU data must be less than or equal to State Target).	8.60%	6.35%	≤ 3.75%	No

Indicator 6: Early Childhood Age LRE – Performance Indicator

Data Source: Child Count

Percent of children with IEPs aged 3 through 5 served in:	SU Data	State	SU &	SU Target
		Actual	State	Met?
			Target	
A. Regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program.	92.11%	73.19%	≥ 71.78%	Yes
B. Separate special education class, separate school or residential facility.	0.00%	0.63%	≤ 6.19%	Yes



Indicator 7: <u>Early Childhood Outcomes</u> – Performance Indicator Data Source: Child Count and Child Count Exiting Collections

Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who	SU Data	State	SU &	SU Target
demonstrate improved:		Actual	State	Met?
			Target	_,,
Outcome A. Improved positive social-emotional skills			rarget	
(including social relationships)				
Of those preschool children who entered or exited their				
program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who				
substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they	57.14%	81.90%	≥ 87.13%	No
exited the program.				
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age	10 500/	50 000/	> 44 440/	N.T.
expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program.	12.50%	52.08%	≥ 41.41%	No
Outcome B. Improved acquisition and use of knowledge and				
skills (including early language / communication and early				
literacy)				
1. Of those preschool children who entered or exited their				
program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who	87.50%	88.63%	> 87.80%	No
substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they	67.30 /6	00.03 /0	≥ 07.00 /0	INO
exited the program.				
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age	0.00%	40.34%	≥ 32.99%	No
expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	0.0070	40.5470	2 02.7770	110
Outcome C. Improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet				
their needs				
1. Of those preschool children who entered or exited their				
program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who	50.00%	83.27%	≥ 86.50%	No
substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they	30.00 /6	03.27 /0	2 00.30 /0	110
exited the program.				
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age	25.00%	60.64%	≥ 55.21%	No
expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	25.00 /0	00.0470	2 00,21 /0	140

Indicator 8: <u>Parent Involvement</u> – Performance Indicator Data Source: Parent Involvement Survey

	SU Data	State	SU &	SU Target
		Actual	State	Met?
			Target	
Percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	29.63%	34.31%	≥ 38.12%	No

Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality</u> – Compliance Indicator

Data Source: Child Count and DC#06

	SU Data	State	SU &	SU Met
		Actual	State	Target?
			Target	
Has the SU been identified for disproportionate representation of				
race and ethnic groups in special education and related services	No	0.00%	No	Yes
that is the result of inappropriate identification?				



Indicator 10: <u>Disproportionality</u> – Compliance Indicator

Data Source: Child Count and DC#06

	SU Data	State	SU &	SU Met
		Actual	State	Target?
			Target	
Has the SU been identified with disproportionate representation				
of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is	No	0.00%	No	Yes
the result of inappropriate identification?				

Indicator 11: Child Find – Compliance Indicator

Data Source: Monitoring Cycle

	SU Data	State Actual	SU & State Target	SU Met Target?
Percent of children with parental consent for initial evaluation, who were evaluated within 60 days.	91.86%	97.13%	100.00%	No

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition - Compliance Indicator

Data Source: Monitoring Cycle

	SU Data	State	SU &	SU Met
		Actual	State	Target?
			Target	
Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B and who have IEP developed and implemented by their 3 rd birthdays.	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Yes

Indicator 13: Post-Secondary Transition – Compliance Indicator

Data Source: Monitoring Cycle

	SU Data	State Actual	SU & State Target	SU Met Target?
Percent of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority.	10.00%	71.25%	100.00%	No



Indicator 14: <u>Post-School Outcomes</u> – Performance Indicator

Data Source: Post-Secondary Outcomes Survey

Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were:	SU Data	State Actual	SU & State	SU Met Target?
			Target	
A. Enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	***	22.92%	≥ 24.25%	***
B. Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	***	72.92%	≥ 56.50%	***
C. Enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	***	88.89%	≥ 72.00%	***

