| Stakeholder Group | Value Needs | Practical Needs | Legal Needs | System Oversight | Transitioning 3yos | Special Education | Family Needs | Other |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|---|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------|
| Interivew Questions | What should we think about in | What will this look like practic information and resources wil | What legal implications must | What should we consider as w | What should we consider as w | | | |
| Cross Group Themes | | Financial model must align w | | | | | | |
| CDD & AOE Leaders (#) | "Mixed Delivery" system Recognized as national best Supports variety of family n Limiting income-drive strati Developmentally-Appropriate not "pushing down" academ allowing for 3s and 4s to be recognizes that some 4s nee recognizes wide-range of de recognized strength of diver Other PreK specific best pract Two years of PreK before K is Dosage - 10 hours/week to: ls there middle option? Options for choices by distri | Consistent and coherent finar * Appropriate ADM for Prek s * Appropriate PeK tuition frc Consistency for UPK Partner I * Often work with multiple St Different applications, contr Clearly defined roles for LEAs * Administration, oversight, s * UPK Coordinator Role (ofter Clearly defined roles for State * Prequalification? * Monitoring? * Ta/Support to SU/SDs? * TA/Support to specific Prog Background checks * Would these changes impac | IDEA Part B – Early Childhood * Need to still be able to offer * Need to do Child Find to ide Alignment with State Board o * Educational Quality Standar * Truancy – if an enrolled 4yo * Transportation – what is rec Ability to ensure safety of you * Right now, Child Care Licens * Licensing also mandates gro Ability to ensure PreK 4 meet * UPK mandates use of VELS (* Twice-yearly individual stuc How to align Afterschool and | What is best done by State an If this is essentially another g How to right size? | No options for 3yos that wou | | | |

| Stakeholder Group | Value Needs | Practical Needs | Legal Needs | System Oversight | Transitioning 3yos | Special Education | Family Needs | Other |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| Interivew Questions | What should we think about in | What will this look like practic information and resources will | | What should we consider as w | What should we consider as w | | | |
| Cross Group Themes | | Financial model must align w | , | | , and a | | | |
| UPK Coordinators (28) | Importance of adult-child rela Play needs to be incorporate Need for rest at this developn Impact of long day on childre Impact of changes to current Viability of community progra | Staff, Substitutes, Aftercare (r Dual vs singular oversight cha Discussion about class size an Inequality of teaching opport There will be some years whe Transportation is an issue. Cc Aftercare: In some districts th If public school directs the cu Capacity questions in terms o * Are we talking SU or SD whe Capacity considerations: * Small schools * Small numbers of 4y/os * Geographic concerns (both s * Staffing (ratios and breaks in * Ability to continue partners! * 2 specific examples brought Licensed teacher in front of st Physical space/facilities that r Start-up costs for renovations What data do we have related | Are we talking SU or SD when FAPE/LRE: Recent guidance of LRE: is determined by studer Agreement that dual oversight Transportation as access need. Special education considerati Would families have the abilit Discussion that .46 (54) is not be the weighted pupil course. | One system oversight body, w CDD regulations provide a lev Duplication of employee doct Currently need to do CDD fing Number of ECE/ECSE licenses Impacts on infant/toddler can | If 3s were unfunded from edu Would districts need to ask fo Benefits of having students re Family impact- will parents of Is there a model that has tiere Financial impacts to districts | ECSE going/no longer going t Less children without visiting If public programs do decide If 3s were unfunded from edu What about the 3s with highe LEAs are responsible for prov For some communities provic Tension between student nee | | Decision about model impact if CDD regulations are in effec If full school day would there Developmentally Appropriate Consensus that learning through the consensus the purpose of PK? (consensus the purpose of |
| Head Start Directors (#) | Collaboration over competitic * The VHSA expressed a senti Equity and Access. * There is concern around the Innovation | ACCESS TO COMPREHENSIVE * At-risk families with four-ye | There's a concern that change Potential strains on program Ensuring continuity of care a Collaboration and partnershi Compliance with IEPs and other | There will be a need for addit Ongoing assessment and eval Potential for increased admin Enhanced coordination and a Balancing program autonomy | Head Start serves children ag Potential strain on Head Start Potential for increased demar Challenges in ensuring contin Importance of family engagen Potential for changes in progr Importance of collaboration Challenges in maintaining pro Need for ongoing assessment | es 3-5 and research suggests g program resources and infras nd for Head Start services for c uity of services and supports f | Strengthened partnerships at Development and expansion Development of targeted out Implementation of trauma-in Provision of additional support Development of transportation RE: FAMILY ENGAGEMENT Collaboration with parents at Increased family engagement | |

| Stakeholder Group | Value Needs | Practical Needs | Legal Needs | System Oversight | Transitioning 3yos | Special Education | Family Needs | Other |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|-------|
| Interivew Questions | | What will this look like practic information and resources will | | What should we consider as w | What should we consider as w | | | |
| Cross Group Themes | | Financial model must align wi | | | | | | |
| Community- based UPK Providers (5) | Strengthen the idea about wh UPK should be accessible to e Assumption that if children no Consistent caregiver from birt Sibling issues. Families would Right now, what happens to cl Reaction from families: we ha Family choice - consistency ar | Not including 3 year olds is di Financial impacts for families Hard on families to have to tra Insurance - cost, availability, r Half-time slots mean you can Kindergarten isn't mandated. Public school offers 175 days. | How do 3 and 4 year olds on I No afterschool regulations fo If LEA's are given the opportu | Dual oversight can work if the AOE & CDD seem to be worki | If we transition 3 year olds ou CCFAP is also a very compreh Access for three year-olds is a | Children with specialized or I If the focus shifts to 4s only, the Level of need at the public scl | | |
| Region I Office of Head Start (#) | Continuity of Care - Paramour Important for Head Start prog | Under-enrollment - Concern f | Would changes potentially im | Certain foundational principle | * Child development needs: ir * Family Engagement: Signific * Continuity of Care: Maintain * Equity and Access: Importar Need for adjustments to prog Certain fundamental principle Potential challenges related to | nportance of considering the cance of involving families in the continuity of care wherever pace of ensuring that all childre | * Accessible and Culturally Re * Comprehensive Family Enga * Wraparound Support Servic * Trauma-Informed Practices * Equitable Access to Resourc Importance of taking a holisti | |
| VTNEA PreK Teachers & Special Educartors (#) | Concerns about any expansion The importance of meeting th Consensus that 10 hours is no PreK shouldn't be peripheral The system has improved sign | More staffing, more classroom Challenges of dual oversight b More licensed educator and sy Transportation to make it trul Increased costs, but the upstr Should be done in a way to int Better ratios for teacher:stude Better support for families an | Transportation concerns (saf What children and families ar Ratios and dual oversight - ca | Current needs for the system May not be right for all childr | Can't be done at the expense of Critical that they receive UPK | of not continuing 166 voucher for educational and social emo | | |
| Winsooski Valley Superintende nts (9) | General support for a mixed d | Awareness that different scho Supportive of the intentionali Recognition that lack of suffic Acknowledgement that, in ger Approximately 1/2 of the part | | Have experienced and witnes Spoke about the distinction b Individual sentiments that pr | | ind effects of "separating" thre | | |
| NOTES | | | | | | | | |