

219 North Main Street, Suite 402 Barre, VT 05641 (p) 802-479-1030 | (f) 802-479-1835

Leader Evaluation Review Rubric

SU:

1. Safe and open collaboration is necessary. When assessment of teacher and leader practices is transparent and openly collaborative, teachers and leaders can build professional communities and learn from one another. This process can only occur in non-threatening environments of formative assessment and growth.

Criteria	Ineffective	Developing	Effective	Highly Effective
1a:	Evaluation guidelines	Evaluation guidelines	Evaluation guidelines	Evaluation guidelines and
Includes clear	do not define how	define how evaluatees	identify process by which	supporting evaluation
opportunities for	evaluatees refute/appeal	refute/appeal an	evaluatees refute/appeal	documents identify
evaluatees to	an evaluation result.	evaluation result.	an evaluation result.	process by which
refute/appeal				evaluates refute/appeal
evaluation process or				an evaluation result.
judgments				
1b:	Evaluator communicates	Evaluator meets	Evaluator meets annually	Evaluator communicates
Charges the	minimally or not at all	sporadically with	with the evaluatee to	openly and regularly and
evaluator with	with evaluatee and	evaluatee to discuss	discuss process with some	provides feedback in an
maintaining open	provide little or no	process with some follow-	follow-up throughout the	ongoing manner per the
and ongoing	follow-up throughout	up throughout the	performance cycle.	agreed upon timeline of
communication	the performance cycle.	performance cycle.		the performance cycle.

2. Measures of teacher and leader performance are most helpful and meaningful when they are based on levels of performance and measurable standards. Teachers and leaders need clear and actionable feedback based on standards that are comprehensive and transparent. Feedback is most useful as part of a comprehensive teacher and leader development system. Summative evaluations of teachers and leaders should be primarily based on standards of effectiveness required for all teachers and leaders.

Criteria	Ineffective	Developing	Effective	Highly Effective
2a:	Evaluation utilizes a	Evaluation utilizes a	Evaluation utilizes a	Evaluation utilizes one of
Reflects criteria for	checklist or global	locally developed	locally developed	the recommended
evaluation based on	recommendation form	framework (criteria and	framework (criteria and	frameworks (criteria and
local, state, and	that identifies one set of	descriptions of practice)	descriptions of practice)	descriptions of practice)
national standards	criteria describing the	but does not adequately	that adequately maps to	with SU/SD specific
	evaluatee's work.	map to state or national	state or national	adaptations based on local

2b: Measures skills core to the evaluatee's role	Evaluation identifies skills that are extraneous, unimportant, or non-relevant.	Evaluation identifies skills which are too broad to adequately evaluate or too narrow to capture the full breadth of the evaluatee's work.	standards for describing the evaluatee's work. - or - Evaluation utilizes national frameworks (criteria and descriptions of practice) for describing the evaluatee's work. Evaluation identifies skills which balance the need for a "do-able" evaluation in the local context with the breadth of the evaluatee's work.	stakeholder input for describing the evaluatee's work. Evaluation identifies skills which balance the need for a "do-able" evaluation in the local context with the breadth of the evaluatee's work. The rationale for the local context make explicit the
				values, mission, and goals of SU/SD in establishing these skills as priorities.
2c:	Evaluation has no	Evaluation alludes to	Feedback is returned at	Feedback is ongoing
Provides timely feedback	guidance related to return of feedback.	timely return of feedback with no specific timeline.	set time(s) during the evaluation process.	during the evaluation process.
2d: Provides high quality feedback	Evaluation has no explicit guidance regarding what is within and outside the scope of the evaluation.	Evaluation feedback is implied to be linked to selected standards and/or may include some events outside the observation.	Evaluation process. Evaluation specifies that feedback is directly linked to selected standards and to the observation/ artifacts collected for this evaluation.	Evaluation specifies that feedback is directly linked to selected standards and to the observation/ artifacts collected for this evaluation, and it identifies a focus priority.



3. Those that are consistently unable to meet the standards of practice, even with appropriate professional support, should be removed from their positions.

Criteria	Ineffective	Developing	Effective	Highly Effective
<i>3a</i> :	No corrective action	Some corrective action	Corrective practices are in	Corrective practices are
Includes clear and	processes are referenced.	processes are referenced	place, with a continuum	established, with a
established corrective		but not fully explicated.	of progressive discipline.	continuum of progressive
action processes				discipline and description
				of support.

4. Integrated systems (e.g., recruitment, selection/placement, induction, professional learning, performance management and evaluation, and career continuum) must link evaluation procedures with curricular standards, professional learning activities, targeted support, and human capital decisions.

Criteria	Ineffective	Developing	Effective	Highly Effective
<i>4a</i> :	Evaluation has no	Evaluation implies	Evaluation requires a link	Evaluation requires a link
Drives individual	guidance for how past	conditional use of past	between the feedback and	between the feedback and
professional growth	evaluation results	evaluations.	an evaluatee's	an evaluatee's
goals	inform goal setting.		professional goals.	professional goals with
				system support for
				achieving in the areas.
4b:	Evaluation has no	Evaluation has an	A system for tracking	A system for tracking
Includes a system for	system for tracking	undeveloped or informal	growth exists.	growth exists, and results
tracking professional	growth.	system for tracking		are reviewed annually to
growth		growth.		determine next steps for
				individual teachers.
4c:	No system for	A system for identifying	A system for identifying	A system for identifying
Drives collective	identifying or driving	collective professional	collective professional	collective as well as
professional offerings	collective professional	offerings exists but no	development needs and a	subgroup professional
	offerings exists.	professional development	professional development	development needs and a
		plan that uses the	plan that reflects those	professional development
		information exists.	needs exist.	plan that reflects those
				needs exist.

5. Teachers' and leaders' input (e.g., self-assessment, goal setting and self-reflection) in determining performance and learning outcomes should be part of the evaluation process.

Criteria	Ineffective	Developing	Effective	Highly Effective
5a:	No formal opportunities	Some formal	Formal, required	Formal, required
Includes	for evaluatees to engage	opportunities exist for	opportunities exist for	opportunities exist for
opportunities for	in self-assessment exist.	evaluatees to engage in	evaluatees to engage in	evaluatees to engage in
evalutees to self-		self-assessment once a	self-assessment once a	self-assessment more than
assess during the		year.	year and contribute to	once a year and contribute
process			evaluation next steps,	to evaluation next steps,
			including goal setting	including goal setting and
			and growth	growth opportunities.
			opportunities.	

6. While standards are essential, teachers and leaders should also help to define a set of practices and student growth and objectives to be assessed. Teacher and leader input can provide vital learning goals for the unique circumstances and context of each particular classroom and/or school.

Criteria	Ineffective	Developing	Effective	Highly Effective
6a:	Evaluation does not	Evaluation includes some	Evaluation includes a	Evaluation includes a
Includes student	look at student	student achievement, but	specific moment to look	systematic process for
achievement	achievement.	it may be insufficient or	at student achievement	considering student
		mismatched to purpose.	metrics that pertain to	achievement based on
			that evalutee.	stakeholder input.
6b:	Evaluation does not	Evaluation has limited	Differentiation within the	Differentiation is
Differentiates	include differentiation.	differentiation for groups	evaluation is possible for	embedded in every
explicitly where the		of evaluatees.	individuals and groups.	evaluatee's process.
process differs by role				

7. Key decisions about assessment and evaluation systems need to be made as close to the local level as possible and in partnership with teachers and leaders and their representatives. Teacher and leader evaluation systems should be developed and implemented with teachers, leaders, and their representatives and be informed by collective bargaining at the local level.

Criteria	Ineffective	Developing	Effective	Highly Effective
7a:	Descriptions of	Descriptions of timelines	Descriptions of timelines	Descriptions of timelines
Includes a clear	timelines are found only	are found in documents	are found in documents	are found in documents in

process and a	in contract language.	which may be	and adequately detail	narrative and graphic
timeline		complicated, difficult to	timeframes.	representations which
		follow, or lacking in		clearly describe the
		sufficient detail.		timelines.
7b:	Description of roles and	Descriptions of roles and	Descriptions of roles and	Descriptions of roles and
Includes a clear	responsibilities are	responsibilities are found	responsibilities are found	responsibilities are found
process and roles &	found only in contract	in documents which may	in documents and	in documents in narrative
responsibilities	language.	be complicated, difficult	adequately detail the	and graphic
		to follow, or lack	roles and responsibilities.	representations which
		sufficient detail.		clearly describe the roles
				and responsibilities.
7 <i>c</i> :	There is no plan for	Supervisors or program	Evaluatees are given the	All evaluatees and
Includes systematic	gathering input.	director (H.R.) make	option to give feedback as	supervisors participate in
means for reviewing		modifications based on	users.	systematic feedback
and updating		anecdotal information		collection regarding the
processes based on		from the evaluator(s).		effectiveness of the
participant feedback				evaluation process.

8. Evaluations must be fair, conducted by highly trained and objective supervisors or other evaluators, whose work is regularly reviewed to ensure the validity and reliability of evaluation results.

Criteria	Ineffective	Developing	Effective	Highly Effective
8a:	No evidence exists to	Evidence exists to suggest	Evidence exists to suggest	Evidence exists to suggest
Demonstrates	suggest that the SU/SD	that the SU/SD values	that the SU/SD values	that the SU/SD values
evidence that the SU	has a plan for achieving	consistency in	consistency in	consistency in evaluations
strives for	consistency in	evaluations but may not	evaluations and has a	and has a plan for
consistency in	evaluations.	have a plan for	plan for monitoring it	monitoring it through an
evaluations		monitoring it.	through an auditing	auditing process and
			process.	norming evaluation
				decisions within the
				SU/SD.
8b:	Training is provided via	Training is provided at	Training is provided at	Training is provided at
Includes credible	written documents	initiation of new	initiation of new	initiation of new



Evaluation Review Rubric Page 5 of 6

training opportunities for evaluators	regarding process to all evaluatees and supervisors.	evaluation system to all evaluatees and supervisors.	evaluation system and has explicit opportunities for new employees to learn the system beyond manuals.	evaluation system, demonstrates explicit opportunities for new employees to learn the system beyond manuals, and is embedded into on- going professional
				development work on at least an annual basis.
9. To satisfy these re	quirements, evaluation sys	tems must be adequately fun	ded and staffed, and fully dea	veloped and validated.
Criteria	Ineffective	Developing	Effective	Highly Effective
9a:	No system of data	Evaluation is conducted	Evaluation is conducted	Evaluation is conducted
Data collection	collection exists or	on-site and submitted to	on-site and submitted to	through technology
system is in place	evaluations are	the personnel file.	the personnel file and the	applications which
	conducted on-site but		SU/SD aggregates the	manage the data
	not submitted to the		data to make future	collection, aggregation
	personnel file.		professional development	and planning for future
			plans.	PD plans.
9b:	SU/SD budget does not	SU/SD budget includes	SU/SD budget includes	SU/SD budget includes
Yearly budget funds	include funding for	inadequate funding for	funding for evaluation.	sufficient funding for
support the data-	evaluation.	evaluation.		evaluation.
informed professional development plan				

