

UVM Educational SLP Program Recommendation

Recommendation:

The Agency recommends that

- 1. A ROPA review for UVM Educational SLP program be completed during the spring of 2018 to coincide with other UVM program reviews/approvals.
 - a. If additional time is needed to include the SLP program the Agency will work with UVM to schedule a review of all programs for the fall of 2018.
- 2. The Review Team is directed to make a recommendation regarding how ASHA reviews will be incorporated moving forward.
- 3. The ROPA Review Team will include a currently practicing Educational SLP.

Background:

Identified Issues

- 1) No State review or approval of UVM Educational SLP program has occurred since 2003
 - a. Since that time there have been two revisions to the endorsement standards: 2005 & 2015.
 - b. The AOE on behalf of the VSBPE has no way to determine if graduating students with a recommendation for licensure as an educational SLP are meeting current VSBPE expectations for the endorsement.
- 2) ASHA is not an education approval program, ASHA is a clinical approval program. (Conversation with CAEP indicated while CAEP recognizes ASHA as a accrediting entity for clinical programs it does not recommend ASHA be used as an approval process for education programs.

Specific Timeline:

- 1. **April 2017-** Statehouse testimony provided by UVM staff indicated UVM SLP's are not teachers
 - a. Prompted AOE staff to review the educational SLP program approval
 - i. March 26, 2008 UVM letter to VSBPE requested ASHA approval process in lieu of ROPA
 - 1. VSBPE approved ASHA review contingent on annual updates from UVM
 - 2. Agency staff found the last UVM report regarding ASHA approval was 2010

- 2. **May 2017-** Letter sent to Dean Thomas May 26, 2017 informing UVM that the educational SLP program would be incorporated into the ROPA review in 2017/18
- 3. June 2017
 - a. Conference call, June 2, 2017, with AOE staff and UVM faculty in response to previous AOE email correspondence regarding ASHA. Agency after consultation with CAEP provided clarification on accreditation types:
 - i. There are two types of accreditation: institutional and professional (sometimes called programmatic).
 - 1. Professional accreditors review departments, schools, and colleges usually within a higher education institution. An institution, especially a larger university, might simultaneously maintain accreditation from a regional accreditor as well as from several professional accreditors (e.g ASHA)
 - 2. CAEP is a professional accreditor because it reviews departments, schools and colleges which prepare teachers and other educators. After completing a program, teachers seek licensure or certification from the state in which they wish to teach.
 - b. UVM SLP faculty members testified at VSBPE June 16, 2017 by phone Ellen Baker, Michael Cannizzaro
 - i. AOE provided clarification to UVM SLP faculty misunderstanding of the Vermont Educator License. The license is not a subendorsement of the SLP clinical license
 - Ellen Baker provided clarification around UVM portfolio process -SLP faculty allow for UVM Education dept. review of the educational SLP portfolios
 - 1. UVM SLP portfolios consist of 7 out of the 10 Core Standards because UVM SLP's do not do student teaching.

