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## Legislation

Act 77 of 2013 an Act Relating to Encouraging Flexible Pathways to Secondary School Completion.

## Summary of Legislation

This act creates a Flexible Pathways Initiative within the Agency of Education to expand opportunities for secondary students to complete high school and achieve postsecondary readiness. Among other features, the act provides the opportunity for each high school student to enroll in two dual enrollment courses at no tuition expense to the student, authorizes the development of additional early college programs through which student's complete 12th grade entirely on a college campus, and removes the upper age limit for participation in the High School Completion Program. The Act includes multiple effective dates, beginning July 1, 2013.

For the purposes of this report, the Act specifically amends 16 VSA §944(j) to require the Secretary of Education to "report to the House and Senate Committees on Education annually in January regarding the Dual Enrollment Program (DE), including data relating to student demographics, levels of participation, marketing, and program success."

No additional funding or staff were provided to the AOE to support implementation of this work. Fifty percent of Dual Enrollment and all of Early College are paid for by the Education Fund, with the additional funding for Dual Enrollment coming from the Next Generation Initiative Fund. When students enroll in Early College, they dis-enroll from their high school and do not count towards the district ADM. This contributes to enrollment declines in high schools, but ensures that the education fund does not double pay for participating students.

## Trends in Voucher Usage

FY16 was the third year of expanded dual enrollment opportunities under Act 77. We are now able to compare data across four years, as displayed in the tables below. For instance, Table 1.1 compares the number of dual enrollment vouchers used from baseline, year 1 of implementation, year 2 of implementation, to FY16 (encompassing Summer 2015 through Spring 2016). This historical information provides preliminary data on the impact of the program, specifically the student participation trend.

The total number of vouchers used has increased substantially over the life of the initiative. For instance, the total number of vouchers used in FY16 (2287) was more than $31 / 2$ times the number used in FY13 (633). After large annual increases in voucher use from FY13 through FY15, it appears that participation may be leveling off at about 2200-2300 students.

Table 1.1. Number of vouchers used by year.

| Semester | $\boldsymbol{F Y 1 3}^{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{F Y 1 4}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{F Y} \mathbf{1 5}^{3}$ | $\boldsymbol{F} \boldsymbol{Y} \mathbf{1 6}^{4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summer | 409 | 474 | 529 | 530 |
| Fall | 31 | 249 | 720 | 720 |
| Spring | 193 | 585 | 913 | 1037 |
| TOTAL | 633 | 1308 | 2162 | 2287 |

${ }^{1}$ Year preceding Act 77 implementation (baseline)
${ }^{2}$ Year one of Act 77 implementation
${ }^{3}$ Year two of Act 77 implementation
${ }^{4}$ Year three of Act 77 implementation

We also compared data on voucher usage across different subgroups of students (see Table 1.2) to examine how student background factors are linked with participation in the DE program. As shown in Table 1.2, in the first three years females used vouchers at a much higher rate and increased their participation at a faster rate than male students. Recently, participation by males appears to be catching up to that of females. From FY15 to FY16, the number of vouchers used by female students increased by only $2 \%$ ( 20 vouchers), whereas the number of vouchers used by males went up $18 \%$ ( 135 vouchers). Female students still take advantage of DE vouchers more than $11 / 2$ times as often as males, but the increasing trend for male participation is positive and potentially good news for moving toward gender parity in the state.

Table 1.2 Voucher use by demographics by year.

|  | FY13 | FY14 | FY 15 | FY 16 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 75 | 454 | 749 | 884 |
| Female | 149 | 850 | 1371 | 1391 |
| Did not <br> choose |  | 4 | 44 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| FRL $^{1}$ | 54 | 347 | 542 | 430 |
| Special | $*$ | 43 | 76 | 60 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| ELL $^{2}$ | $*$ | 86 | 57 | 91 |

${ }^{1}$ Students who qualify for free and reduced hot lunch
2 English Language Learners

* $<11$

Voucher use by students qualifying for free and reduced hot lunch (FRL) and for students qualifying for Individualized Education Plans (IEPs) under IDEA special education law both decreased as compared to last year (see Table 1.2). This is a troubling finding that suggests a growing equity gap. One critical goal of dual enrollment is to give students whose parents may not have attended college a successful college experience while in high school, so that they understand that they can do college level work and also realize some of the opportunities
available in college. Ensuring that low income, "first generation" students and students with disabilities are accessing dual enrollment is critical to closing the opportunity gap and ensuring that these students take advantage of postsecondary opportunities that lead to higher skill, higher wage futures.

Significant work is needed to understand better why students in FRL and special education categories are lagging behind in terms of dual enrollment participation. As a state, we have an obligation to ensure equity of access for all students, particularly when it comes to something as critical as state-funded dual enrollment. In addition, we must ensure that all students are ready to participate in college level courses should they choose to and that they have the tools and resources to succeed.

The number of vouchers used by students designated as English Language Learners increased from FY15 to FY16 and was actually the highest on record.

## Postsecondary Enrollment

For the first time in the annual report, we are excited to present data on the actual postsecondary enrollment outcomes for Vermont students who participate in Dual Enrollment. Specifically, how many students who participate in dual enrollment actually go on to enroll in college and how does this look when we break it down by student background factors?

Overall, we believe the findings provide some compelling evidence that dual enrollment will contribute to increased postsecondary attainment by Vermont students.

Table 1.3 Number and percent of students who participate in DE and enroll in postsecondary education.

|  | TOTAL \# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1ST SEMESTER | STUDENTS |  |
| IN DE | IN DE | \% IN NSC ${ }^{1}$ |
| SPRING13 | 184 | 69 |
| SUMMER13 | 456 | 77 |
| FALL13 | 248 | 73 |
| SPRING14 | 488 | 75 |
| SUMMER14 | 420 | 81 |
| FALL14 | 665 | 77 |

[^0](Revised: February 9, 2017)

To determine which students have enrolled in college (either two- or four-year), we use data from the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC). As stated on the NSC website, "more than 3,600 colleges and universities participate in the Clearinghouse, reporting enrollment and degree information...regularly throughout the year." In addition, $98 \%$ of all students in public and private U.S. postsecondary institutions are picked up in this clearinghouse.

As shown in Table 1.3, a strong percentage of Vermont students participating in DE go on to enroll in college. This percentage also seems to have increased over time, with $69 \%$ enrolling in college from the baseline cohort and $77 \%$ from the Fall14 cohort. The data show that students who participate in DE during the summer are also those most likely to enroll in college. This is not a causal relationship, however; we don't know whether summer Dual Enrollment, which may be more likely to take place on a college campus, is more likely to incentivize students to go to college, or whether students who are more likely to go to college are more likely to take summer classes.

When we look at Vermont's whole population of college-going students and their dual enrollment participation, we also see an encouraging trend (see Table 1.4). In 2013 (baseline), 4,227 of the 6,532 high school graduates (i.e., $65 \%$ ) went on to college. Of those students who went on to college, only $6 \%$ had taken part in the state's dual enrollment program. Within two years (2015), the percent of dual enrollment participants in the graduating class who went on to college was up to $28 \%$. In other words, the proportion of dual enrollment students in Vermont's college-going population more than quadrupled within three years, despite a declining overall student population.

## Table 1.4 Percent of VT graduation cohorts that are in NSC, by DE participation.

| High School Grad | TOTAL \# | \# (\%) in $\mathrm{NSC}^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# (\%) WHO } \\ & \text { PARTICIPATED } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | grads |  | IN DE |
| 2013 | 6532 | 4227 (65\%) | 254 (6\%) |
| 2014 | 6403 | 4002 (63\%) | 582 (15\%) |
| 2015 | 6322 | 3876 (61\%) | 1103 (28\%) |

${ }^{1}$ National Student Clearinghouse. Data represent postsecondary enrollment at any time after high school graduation, between 2013 and 2016.

Data broken down by both student gender and FRL eligibility provide an initial look at equity with respect to DE and postsecondary enrollment. As shown in Table 1.5, despite the fact that we know proportionally fewer males enroll in DE than females, the percent of students who eventually go on to postsecondary education is roughly the same across gender. Unfortunately, it appears that we may be seeing a slight widening in the gender gap in the most recent semesters (favoring females). These data are important because they indicate that, once we get males enrolled in DE, they are just about as likely to pursue postsecondary education as are females (who are nationally and in Vermont much more likely to attend college than males in the population at large).

Table 1.5 Number and percent of students who participate in DE and enroll in postsecondary education, by gender.

|  | MALE STUDENTS |  |  | FEMALE STUDENTS |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | TOTAL\# | \% IN NSC |  | TOTAL\# | \% IN NSC |
| SPRING13 | 65 | 68 | 120 | 70 |  |
| SUMMER13 | 178 | 78 | 285 | 77 |  |
| FALL13 | 94 | 70 | 154 | 73 |  |
| SPRING14 | 157 | 71 | 342 | 77 |  |
| SUMMER14 | 157 | 78 | 254 | 82 |  |
| FALL14 | 220 | 76 | 413 | 81 |  |

We see a similar pattern playing out for students who come from limited economic means, with some caveats. As shown in Table 1.5, 66-76\% of students who qualify for FRL and participate in DE go on to enroll in college, whereas $71-82 \%$ of students who do not qualify for FRL do. It is important to understand what is keeping our students from more economically disadvantaged backgrounds from enrolling at the same level as their less disadvantaged counterparts. On the other hand, the rates of postsecondary enrollment for our FRL students who participate in DE are compelling especially as compared to trends for our overall FRL population. For instance, the 2016 NESSC common data report shows that $38 \%$ of students qualifying for FRL in Vermont enroll in college immediately after high school, whereas $59 \%$ of non-FRL students do. This represents a $21 \%$ difference in enrollment rates across FRL status. From this lens, dual enrollment in VT may be playing a powerful, albeit imperfect, role in leveling the playing field when it comes to eventual postsecondary enrollment. The difference in enrollment rates across FRL status for those Vermont students who first participated in DE from Spring 13 to Fall 14 is approximately $4 \%$. To evaluate this question, we would need to better understand how and whether students who live in poverty who do and don't participate in Dual Enrollment differ in other ways.

Table 1.5 Number and percent of students who participate in DE and enroll in postsecondary education, by FRL eligibility.

|  | STUDENTS ELIGIBLE FOR FRL |  | STUDENTS NOT ELIGIBLE FOR FRL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TOTAL \# | \% IN NSC | TOTAL\# | \% IN NSC |
| SPRING13 | 42 | 67 | 140 | 71 |
| SUMMER13 | 101 | 72 | 352 | 78 |
| FALL13 | 68 | 74 | 173 | 72 |
| SPRING14 | 152 | 66 | 335 | 79 |
| SUMMER14 | 96 | 76 | 302 | 82 |
| FALL14 | 134 | 76 | 499 | 80 |

## Participation by High Schools and Institutions of Higher Education

FY16 saw an increase in the number of high schools participating. The Arlington School, Central Vermont High School Initiative, Hanover High School, Lake Champlain Waldorf School and LiHigh School became additional dual enrollment partners at the start of FY16. In FY16, there were a total of 77 high schools (including home study) participating in the program as
compared to 72 in FY15. Table 1.6 below shows that out of the participating high schools, $50 \%$ of those experienced an increase in voucher usage, some substantially (e.g., BFA St. Albans from 31 to 91 ); $31 \%$ experienced a consistent rate of voucher usage (e.g., Hazen from 27 to 25); and $19 \%$ of schools had a decrease in voucher use (e.g., Lyndon Institute from 72 to 14) from FY15 to FY16. Moving forward, we need to find out why some high schools saw such a steep decline in their DE voucher usage. Does this mimic overall enrollment declines at the high school? An uptake in students' use of other flexible pathways experiences instead of DE, such as early college or work-based learning? Or something else? We might also want to understand why a large proportion of students take at least one dual enrollment class in some schools, while in others, a small proportion participates.

Table 1.6 - Voucher Usage by High School

|  | FY 15 |  |  |  | FY 16 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HIGH SCHOOL | $\begin{gathered} \text { Summer } \\ 2014 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fall } \\ & 2014 \end{aligned}$ | Spring 2015 | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Summer } \\ 2015 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fall } \\ 2015 \end{gathered}$ | Spring $2016$ | Total |
| ARLINGTON MEMORIAL HS | 2 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| *AVALON TRIUMVIRATE ACADEMY | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BELLOWS FALLS UHS | 0 | 2 | 9 | 11 | 0 | 15 | 17 | 32 |
| BFA ST ALBANS | 18 | 8 | 8 | 31 | 30 | 5 | 56 | 91 |
| BFA FAIRFAX | 12 | 7 | 10 | 29 | 9 | 11 | 21 | 41 |
| BLACK RIVER US | 3 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 14 |
| BLUE MOUNTAIN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| BRATTLEBORO UHS | 1 | 77 | 42 | 120 | 1 | 77 | 47 | 125 |
| BURLINGTON HS | 31 | 15 | 25 | 71 | 44 | 12 | 27 | 83 |
| *BURR AND BURTON ACADEMY | 4 | 21 | 29 | 54 | 1 | 27 | 25 | 53 |
| CABOT SCHOOL | 3 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 7 |
| CANAAN SCHOOLS | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| CENTRAL VT HS INITIATIVE | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CHAMPLAIN VALLEY UHS | 50 | 23 | 42 | 115 | 46 | 21 | 54 | 121 |
| CHELSEA SCHOOL | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| COLCHESTER HS | 14 | 8 | 17 | 39 | 10 | 14 | 38 | 62 |
| *COMMUNITY HIGH SCHOOL OF VT | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CONCORD HS | 2 | 6 | 6 | 14 | NA | NA | NA |  |
| CRAFTSBURY SCHOOLS | 0 | 7 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| DANVILLE SCHOOL | 1 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 21 | 13 | 36 |
| ENOSBURG FALLS M/HS | 15 | 10 | 7 | 32 | 23 | 9 | 11 | 43 |
| ESSEX HS | 28 | 7 | 26 | 61 | 26 | 20 | 69 | 115 |
| FAIR HAVEN UHS | 8 | 25 | 7 | 40 | 5 | 15 | 5 | 25 |
| GREEN MOUNTAIN UHS | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 17 | 11 | 32 |
| HANOVER HS | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| HARTFORD HS | 1 | 9 | 7 | 17 | 2 | 8 | 7 | 17 |
| HARWOOD UHS | 18 | 3 | 15 | 36 | 27 | 15 | 9 | 51 |
| HAZEN UHS | 5 | 8 | 14 | 27 | 2 | 13 | 10 | 25 |
| HOMESCHOOLED | 4 | 19 | 23 | 46 | 5 | 20 | 20 | 45 |
| *LAKE CHAMPLAIN WALDORF | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LAKE REGION UHS | 13 | 7 | 40 | 60 | 8 | 5 | 23 | 36 |
| LAMOILLE UHS | 8 | 11 | 26 | 45 | 11 | 24 | 30 | 65 |
| LELAND \& GRAY UHS | 0 | 18 | 14 | 32 | 0 | 26 | 9 | 35 |
| LIHIGH SCHOOL THE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *LONG TRAIL SCHOOL | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *LYNDON INSTITUTE | 3 | 65 | 4 | 72 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 14 |
| MIDDLEBURY UHS | 7 | 7 | 9 | 23 | 9 | 11 | 18 | 38 |
| MILL RIVER US | 10 | 6 | 8 | 24 | 7 | 11 | 17 | 35 |
| MILTON HS | 15 | 5 | 9 | 29 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 11 |
| MISSISSQUOI VALLEY UHS | 16 | 15 | 15 | 46 | 14 | 8 | 15 | 37 |
| MONTPELIER HS | 10 | 4 | 17 | 31 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 15 |


| MT ABRAHAM UHS | 10 | 1 | 13 | 24 | 12 | 3 | 6 | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MT ANTHONY UHS | 14 | 27 | 53 | 94 | 8 | 19 | 29 | 56 |
| MT MANSFIELD US | 35 | 6 | 7 | 48 | 18 | 8 | 10 | 36 |
| NORTH COUNTRY UHS | 20 | 29 | 50 | 99 | 18 | 16 | 32 | 66 |
| NORTHFIELD M/HS | 2 | 24 | 9 | 35 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 19 |
| OTTER VALLEY UHS | 3 | 13 | 5 | 21 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 22 |
| OXBOW UHS | 1 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 7 |
| PEOPLES ACADEMY | 18 | 14 | 21 | 53 | 11 | 13 | 20 | 44 |
| POULTNEY HS | 4 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 18 |
| PROCTOR JR/SR HS | 1 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| RANDOLPH UHS | 7 | 13 | 23 | 43 | 2 | 8 | 32 | 42 |
| RICHFORD JR/SR HS | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 13 | 30 |
| RIVENDELL ACADEMY | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| ROCHESTER SCHOOL | 4 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| RUTLAND HS | 13 | 58 | 3 | 74 | 16 | 35 | 63 | 114 |
| *SHARON ACADEMY THE | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| SOUTH BURLINGTON HS | 42 | 13 | 32 | 87 | 43 | 9 | 14 | 66 |
| SOUTH ROYALTON M/HS | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 16 |
| SPAULDING UHS | 8 | 6 | 41 | 55 | 5 | 11 | 35 | 51 |
| SPRINGFIELD HS | 6 | 30 | 59 | 95 | 8 | 45 | 45 | 98 |
| *ST JOHNSBURY ACADEMY | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 8 |
| STOWE M/HS | 4 | 6 | 4 | 14 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 10 |
| *THE ARLINGTON SCHOOL | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *THE COMPASS SCHOOL | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *THETFORD ACADEMY | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 12 |
| TWIN VALLEY HS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| TWINFIELD US | 0 | 2 | 14 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 5 | 21 |
| U32 UHS | 11 | 16 | 27 | 54 | 7 | 9 | 22 | 38 |
| VERGENNES UHS | 1 | 15 | 26 | 42 | 3 | 5 | 30 | 38 |
| *VERMONT COMMONS SCHOOL | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| WEST RUTLAND SCHOOL | 4 | 3 | 13 | 20 | 2 | 18 | 11 | 31 |
| WHITCOMB JR/SR HS | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| WILLIAMSTOWN M/HS | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 11 |
| WINDSOR HS | 2 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 8 | 10 | 18 |
| WINOOSKI HS | 3 | 6 | 19 | 28 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 17 |
| WOODSTOCK UHS | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 20 |
| Grand Total | 530 | 722 | 913 | 2165 | 530 | 720 | 1037 | 2287 |

*Indicates Independent School
The number of colleges, universities and other institutions of higher education (IHEs) participating in dual enrollment remained the same over the past year (i.e., 20 in both FY15 and FY16). The mixture of both public and private institutions, as well as variability in size of campus and student body, shows a relatively broad range of learning opportunities for students as well as expanded geographic reach for the dual enrollment program. As indicated in Table 1.7 , the large majority of vouchers were used for dual enrollment courses at Community

College of Vermont ( $63 \%$ ), followed by the University of Vermont (17\%), and the Vermont State Colleges (12\%). Overall, $9 \%$ of the vouchers were used at private institutions of higher education. Table 1.7 also depicts the breakdown of voucher use by specific semester.

Table 1.7 - Voucher Usage by Institutes of Higher Education

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Summer } \\ 2014 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fall } \\ 2014 \end{gathered}$ | Spring 2015 | FY 15 <br> Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Summer } \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fall } \\ 2015 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Spring } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY } 16 \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bennington College | 0 | 20 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 17 |
| Burlington College | 0 | 5 | 11 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 13 |
| CCV | 163 | 350 | 630 | 1143 | 204 | 454 | 752 | 1410 |
| Champlain College | 0 | 7 | 8 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 9 |
| College of St. Joseph | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Castleton | 15 | 78 | 26 | 119 | 16 | 63 | 23 | 102 |
| Goddard College | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Green Mountain College | 0 | 1 | 12 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Johnson | 45 | 21 | 11 | 77 | 37 | 17 | 3 | 57 |
| Landmark College | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Lyndon | 0 | 77 | 3 | 80 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 16 |
| Marlboro College | 0 | 19 | 23 | 42 | 0 | 8 | 19 | 27 |
| NECl | 14 | 10 | 26 | 50 | 7 | 10 | 14 | 31 |
| Norwich University | 2 | 24 | 10 | 36 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 17 |
| Saint Michael's College | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| SIT Graduate Institute | 0 | 31 | 9 | 40 | 0 | 28 | 16 | 44 |
| Southern Vermont College | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 27 | 3 | 30 |
| Sterling College | 0 | 0 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 |
| UVM | 290 | 47 | 64 | 401 | 265 | 35 | 90 | 390 |
| VTC | 1 | 23 | 37 | 61 | 1 | 22 | 77 | 100 |
| Grand Total | 530 | 722 | 913 | 2165 | 530 | 720 | 1037 | 2287 |

In FY 16, several high schools partnered with colleges to offer on-site dual enrollment opportunities (see Table 1.8), sometimes called "concurrent enrollment" nationally. When a college course is offered on the high school campus, the public postsecondary institution retains authority to determine course content and works with the high school to select, monitor, support, and evaluate instructors. On-site dual enrollment increased in FY16 with eight colleges and 27 high schools (as compared to FY15 with four colleges and 22 high schools) offering college courses at the high school. We will need to monitor student outcomes as they relate to location of dual enrollment course in the future, to ensure all students get the full benefit.

Table 1.8 - Voucher Usage, On-Site Dual Enrollment (Fall 15 \& Spring 16)

|  | COLLEGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HIGH SCHOOL | CCV | CSC | LSC | Marlboro | SIT | Sterling | SVC | VTC | Total |
| BELLOWS FALLS UHS | 64 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 64 |
| BELLOWS FREE ACADEMY | 53 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 53 |
| BRATTLEBORO UHS | 15 | 1 | - | 20 | 44 | - | 21 | 11 | 112 |
| BURR AND BURTON | - | 22 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 22 |
| COLCHESTER HS | 29 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 29 |
| CHAMPLAIN VALLEY UHS | 19 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 19 |
| DANVILLE SCHOOL | 41 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 41 |
| ENOSBURG FALLS M/HS | 6 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | 6 |
| FAIR HAVEN UHS | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 |
| GREEN MOUNTAIN UHS | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13 |
| LAKE REGION UHS | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 |
| LAMOILLE UHS | 4 | - | - | - | - | 11 | - | - | 15 |
| LELAND \& GRAY UHS | 31 | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - | 31 |
| LYNDON INSTITUTE | 7 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 10 |
| MISSISQUOI VALLEY UHS | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 |
| NORTH COUNTRY UHS | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17 | 31 |
| NORTHFIELD M/HS | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
| OTTER VALLEY UHS | - | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 |
| PEOPLES ACADEMY | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 |
| POULTNEY HS | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
| RANDOLPH UHS | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| RICHFORD JR/SR HS | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| RUTLAND HS | - | 28 | - | - | - | - | - | 55 | 83 |
| SPRINGFIELD HS | 105 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 105 |
| U32 UHS | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
| VERGENNES UHS | 23 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 23 |
| WEST RUTLAND SCHOOL | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| Grand Total | 497 | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 7}$ |

## Geographic Reach

Appendices 1 and 2 provide maps of voucher usage by county for both FY15 and FY16, respectively. They also include the proportion of that county's participating students as a function of the entire dual enrollment population and the proportion of that county's high school students as a function of the entire statewide high school population. This is helpful in discerning whether each county's dual enrollment participation is similar to its "footprint" within the entire state high school population. Overall, the results from FY15 to FY16 indicate that voucher usage in most counties within the state is relatively consistent with that county's overall percentage of students statewide. For instance, Washington County's FY16 numbers represent $10 \%$ of the students enrolled in dual enrollment. This is consistent with the fact that $9 \%$ of all Vermont high school students are in Washington County (see Appendix 2). Significant misalignment between these two percentages within a county is an indication that its students are participating in dual enrollment at rates less than (or more than) expected. Comparing data between Appendices 1 and 2, we also see movement towards more consistent representation in the dual enrollment population in areas such as Franklin and Orleans counties.

You will note that maps in Appendices 1 and 2 have differing student populations. This is due to enrollments going down or the addition of schools participating in dual enrollment and those student populations being added to the total number in that county.

## Moving Forward: Digging Deeper into Program Success

In enacting Act 77, the legislature made clear that increasing access to dual enrollment opportunities for ALL Vermont students was a priority. As discussed here and in previous reports, the first full year of expanded access to the program resulted in more high schools, colleges and universities being involved and more students having access to college creditbearing learning experiences to students. This expansion coincided with significant growth in student voucher usage during the same timeframe. The system now seems to be "settling" in at a more stable level in terms of overall student participation and postsecondary involvement.
However, stark differences in student participation based on gender, FRL, and special education status cannot go ignored.

In addition, increased access is not the only measure of import as we also care deeply that students are both ready for the experience and successfully complete the courses they enter. We are pleased to have finally included an initial index of actual postsecondary enrollment in this year's report. As we move forward in further implementing and evaluating Act 77 initiatives, the Agency of Education will track and report on the following additional indicators:

- Student performance (i.e., grades) on dual enrollment coursework
- Postsecondary retention (one-year) and persistence rates for students participating in dual enrollment, as compared to non-participating students

In addition, contingent upon Agency staffing levels, in the next six months we hope to run more sophisticated analyses to better understand the potential impact of dual enrollment participation in Vermont students' lives and choices after high school. Learning more about both the immediate and long-term outcomes associated with dual enrollment participation will paint a fuller picture regarding the success of this program, including how useful it is in the lives of Vermont students and the return on our state dollars with respect to increased postsecondary attainment, a more skilled workforce, and improved economic vitality statewide.

Appendix 1 - Voucher Usage by Vermont County for FY 15


## Appendix 2 - Voucher Usage by Vermont County for FY 16




[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ National Student Clearinghouse. Data represent postsecondary enrollment at any time after high school graduation, between 2013 and 2016.

