

219 North Main Street, Suite 402 Barre, VT 05641 (p) 802-479-1030 | (f) 802-479-1835

Administrative Review Summary

Section 207 of the HHKFA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State Agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) require the State Agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State Agency's publicly available website. It is the policy of the Vermont State Agency to provide each SFA with review findings at the exit conference.

School Food Authority Name: Lamoille North Supervisory Union

Self-o	perated	\boxtimes					
Food S	Service Management Company Contr	ct 🗵					
`	Date(s) of Administrative Review: February 5 – 7, 2019						
Date r	review summary was publicly posted	: April 12, 2019					
Gener	al Program Participation						
1.	What Child Nutrition Programs doe	es the School Food Authority operate?					
	School Breakfast Program						
	National School Lunch Program	\boxtimes					
	Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program						
	Afterschool Snack						
	Special Milk Program						
	Seamless Summer Option						
2.	Does the School Food Authority ope	erate under any Special Provisions? (These provisions					
	are an alternative to household applicat areas.)	ions for free and reduced price meals in high poverty					
	Community Eligibility Provision						
	Special Provision 1						
	Special Provision 2						
	Special Provision 3						
	N/A						

Review Findings

•	***	
3.	Were	any findings identified during the review of this SFA? \boxtimes YES \square NO If yes, indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the findings review below.
		REVIEW FINDINGS
	A. M	eal Access and Reimbursement
	1.	 Certification and Benefit Issuance – Validation of the SFA certification of students' eligibility for free or reduced-price meals YES ⋈ NO□ Finding Detail: Some applications were calculated incorrectly and did not include the child income indicated on the application. Some student's benefits were not reflected correctly in the point of sale.
	2.	Verification – Validation of the process used by the SFA to confirm selected students' eligibility for free and reduced-price meals YES □ NO⊠ Finding Detail:
	3.	 Meal Counting and Claiming – Validation of the SFA meal counting and claiming system that accurately counts, records, consolidates, and reports the number of reimbursable meals claimed by category YES ⋈ NO□ Finding Detail: Meal count documentation for the month of December was not correctly reflected in the Child Nutrition web based claiming system Point of service meal count practices were found to be inconsistent.
		eal Pattern and Nutritional Quality Meal Components and Quantities – Validation that meals claimed for reimbursement contain the required meal components / food components and quantities

.VERMONT

AGENCY OF EDUCATION

YES ⊠ NO□ Finding Detail:

offerings did not meet meal pattern requirements.

The amounts of meat/ meat alternate and grains for certain menu

		YES □ NO⊠	
		Finding Detail:	
	3.	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis – Validation that meals offered to children through the school meal programs are consistent with federal standards YES □ NO⊠ Finding Detail:	
C.	C. General Program Compliance		
	1.	Civil Rights – Validation of SFA compliance with civil rights requirements as applicable to the Child Nutrition Programs YES □ NO⊠ Finding Detail:	
	2.	 SFA On-site Monitoring – Validation that each SFA with more than one schooperating the NSLP performs required onsite reviews as specified by regulation YES ☒ NO□ Finding Detail: 	
		 Only lunch onsite monitoring forms are done for each site. Half of the SFA sites must also be reviewed for breakfast each year. For sites with Food Service Management Companies a FSMC on site form must be completed as well as the monitoring form. Sites with management companies only filled out FSMC on site monitoring forms. 	
	3.	Local School Wellness Policy and School Meal Environment– Documentation of compliance with the established Local School Wellness Policy YES ☒ NO□ Finding Detail: • The Wellness Policy is out of date and missing key components.	
	4.	Smart Snacks in School – Validation of the SFA compliance with regulations for	

2. Offer versus Serve (OVS) – Validation of SFA compliance with provision that

allows students to decline some of the food components offered

VERMONT AGENCY OF EDUCATION

YES ⊠ NO□ Finding Detail:

There are a number of snack products for sale at the high school that do

all food and beverages to students outside of the reimbursable meal

not comply with smart snack requirements.

	5.	Professional Standards – Validation of the SFA compliance with required hiring standards and annual training requirements
		YES □ NO⊠
		Finding Detail:
	6.	Water – Documentation that children have access to water during the lunch and
		breakfast meal services
		YES □ NO⊠
		Finding Detail:
	7.	Food Safety and Storage – Validation that schools meet food safety and storage
		requirements
		YES ⊠ NO□
		Finding Detail:
		 Tongs were not used in self-serve fruit stations.
		 Milk cooler and dishwasher temp logs were not being used.
	8.	Buy American – Documentation that schools comply with Buy American
		provision and policy specified by regulation
		YES □ NO⊠
		Finding Detail:
	9.	Reporting and Recordkeeping – Evidence that reports are submitted and
		maintained with other program records as required
		YES □ NO⊠
		Finding Detail:
	10.	School Breakfast Program and Summer Food Service Program Outreach –
		Validation that SFA informs families of the availability of breakfasts offered
		under the School Breakfast Program and meals offered through the Sumer Food
		Service Program
		YES□NO⊠
		Finding Detail:
D	Ori	han Eo danal Dugamana Darriarya
υ.	Ot.	her Federal Program Reviews The NSLP Afterschool Snack Service – Documentation that nutritionally-
	-•	balanced snacks are served, appropriate educational or enrichment activities are



YES ⊠ NO□ N/A□

provided and counting and claiming is accurate.

Finding Detail:

 There is confusion within the SFA as to what schools operate the ASP and, which operate the CACFP program.

2. Seamless Summer Option – Evidence that the SFA adheres to the same meal

l year
chools
and
e program in
State

E. Resource Management

YES □ NO□ N/A⊠

Finding Detail:

1. Resource Management – Validation that SFA ensures the overall financial health of the school food service including non-profit food service account, paid lunch equity, revenue from non-program foods and indirect costs

YES ⊠ NO□

Finding Detail:

 Currently students can purchase meals from the tech center culinary program. Unless the culinary school meals can meet smart snack guidelines, meals cannot be sold to students. They can however be sold to adults.

