

219 North Main Street, Suite 402 Barre, VT 05641 (p) 802-479-1030 | (f) 802-479-1835

Administrative Review Summary

Section 207 of the HHKFA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State Agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) require the State Agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State Agency's publicly available website. It is the policy of the Vermont State Agency to provide each SFA with review findings at the exit conference.

School Food Authority Name: Washington Central Supervisory Union Self-operated Food Service Management Company Contract □ Date(s) of Administrative Review: January 29 − 30, 2018 Date review summary was publicly posted: April 12, 2019				
1.	What Child Nutrition Programs doe	es the School Food Authority operate?		
	School Breakfast Program			
	National School Lunch Program			
	Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program			
	Afterschool Snack			
	Special Milk Program			
	Seamless Summer Option			
2.	Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (<i>These provisions are an alternative to household applications for free and reduced price meals in high poverty areas.</i>)			
	Community Eligibility Provision			
	Special Provision 1			
	Special Provision 2			
	Special Provision 3			

Review Findings

3	Comn	nendations:
		resh Fruit and Vegetable Program at Berlin Elementary was wonderfully engaging.
4.	Were	any findings identified during the review of this SFA? \boxtimes YES \square NO If yes, indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the findings review below.
		REVIEW FINDINGS
		ogram Access and Reimbursement Certification and Benefit Issuance − Validation of the SFA certification of students' eligibility for free or reduced-price meals YES ☒ NO□ Finding Detail:
		-Several of the free and reduced applications were missing required information.
		-The online free and reduced applications were missing a required piece of information.
	2.	Verification – Validation of the process used by the SFA to confirm selected students' eligibility for free and reduced-price meals YES ☒ NO□ Finding Detail:
		-The sample of verification applications reviewed identified one application that was incorrectly denied during the verification process.
	3.	Meal Counting and Claiming – Validation of the SFA meal counting and claiming system that accurately counts, records, consolidates, and reports the number of reimbursable meals claimed by category YES ☒ NO□ Finding Detail:
		- Preschool meal counting must be completed at the point of service



- A discrepancy with the meal claims was observed for the month of review supporting documentation when compared to the claim. This did not impact the claims for reimbursement.

B. Meal Pattern and Nutritional Quality

 Meal Components and Quantities – Validation that meals claimed for reimbursement contain the required meal components / food components and quantities

YES ⊠ NO□ Finding Detail:

- -Some of the grain offerings at breakfast was not in the correct serving size.
- Recipes were incomplete and missing sufficient component details.
- Some production records were outdated and not recording the required information in sufficient detail.
- There was no reimbursable breakfast and lunch meal signage at Rumney Memorial School.
- Preschool meals served in the classroom were not following the CACFP meal pattern
- **2. Offer versus Serve (OVS)** Validation of SFA compliance with provision that allows students to decline some of the food components offered

YES \square NO \boxtimes

Finding Detail:

3. Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis – Validation that meals offered to children through the school meal programs are consistent with federal standards YES □ NO ☒

Finding Detail:

C. General Program Compliance

 Civil Rights – Validation of SFA compliance with civil rights requirements as applicable to the Child Nutrition Programs

YES ⊠ NO□

Finding Detail:



- Not all staff received the required Child Nutrition specific Civil Rights training.
- -Menus did not have the correct short non-discrimination statements.
- -Meal pattern substitutions were being made outside of the meal pattern without documentation from a licensed medical professional.
- 2. SFA On-site Monitoring Validation that each SFA with more than one school operating the NSLP performs required onsite reviews as specified by regulations YES ☒ NO□

Finding Detail:

- Onsite monitoring for the previous school year was not completed for all lunch sites and 50% of the breakfast sites.
- 3. Local School Wellness Policy and School Meal Environment– Documentation of compliance with the established Local School Wellness Policy YES □ NO ☒

Finding Detail:

4. Smart Snacks in School – Validation of the SFA compliance with regulations for all food and beverages to students outside of the reimbursable meal

YES ⊠ NO□

Finding Detail:

- Non-compliant food based fundraisers were occurring during school hours.
- **5. Professional Standards** Validation of the SFA compliance with required hiring standards and annual training requirements

YES ⊠ NO□

Finding Detail:

- The SFA was not able provide the backup documentation to support the professional development conducted for school nutrition staff.
- **6. Water** Documentation that children have access to water during the lunch and breakfast meal services

YES \square NO \boxtimes



	Finding Detail:
7.	Food Safety and Storage – Validation that schools meet food safety and storage requirements YES ☒ NO□ Finding Detail:
	-Staff were not wearing proper hair restraints.
	-Boxes of food on floor of walk-in refrigerator and freezer.
	-At Rumney, meal temperatures were not being recorded, and production record template was outdated and does not record the required information.
8.	Buy American – Documentation that schools comply with Buy American provision and policy specified by regulation YES ☒ NO□ Finding Detail:
	- The SFA was not in compliance with the Buy American provision documentation. Use of either exception requires documentation.
9.	Reporting and Recordkeeping – Evidence that reports are submitted and maintained with other program records as required YES □ NO⊠ Finding Detail:
10.	School Breakfast Program and Summer Food Service Program Outreach − Validation that SFA informs families of the availability of breakfasts offered under the School Breakfast Program and meals offered through the Sumer Food Service Program YES ☒ NO□ Finding Detail:
	- The SFA did not promote the breakfast program outside the initial free and



D. Other Federal Program Reviews

reduced mailing packet at the beginning of the year.

1,	The NSLP Afterschool Snack Service – Documentation that nutritionally-balanced snacks are served, appropriate educational or enrichment activities are provided and counting and claiming is accurate. YES □ NO□ N/A ☑ Finding Detail:
2.	Seamless Summer Option – Evidence that the SFA adheres to the same meal service rules and claiming procedures used during the regular school year YES □ NO □ N/A ☒ Finding Detail:
3.	Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program – Validation that participating schools increase children's exposure to and consumption of a variety of fruits and vegetables and operate the program as prescribed YES □ NO⊠ N/A□ Finding Detail:
4.	Special Milk Program – Documentation that the SFA is operating the program in compliance with regulatory requirements and in accordance with the State Agency approved agreement $ \begin{array}{c c} \textbf{YES} & \square \ \textbf{NO} & \square \ \textbf{N/A} \boxtimes \\ \textbf{Finding Detail:} \end{array} $
Re 1.	source Management Resource Management – Validation that SFA ensures the overall financial health of the school food service including non-profit food service account, paid lunch equity, revenue from non-program foods and indirect costs YES □ NO⊠ Finding Detail:

E.