

219 North Main Street, Suite 402 Barre, VT 05641 (p) 802-479-1030 | (f) 802-479-1835

Administrative Review Summary

Section 207 of the HHKFA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State Agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) require the State Agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State Agency's publicly available website. It is the policy of the Vermont State Agency to provide each SFA with review findings at the exit conference.

School Food Authority Name: White River Supervisory Union Self-operated ⊠ Food Service Management Company Contract □ Date(s) of Administrative Review: 5/14/2019 – 5/17/2019 Date review summary was publicly posted: August 21, 2019				
1.	What Child Nutrition Programs doe	es the School Food Authority operate?		
	School Breakfast Program			
	National School Lunch Program	\boxtimes		
	Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program			
	Afterschool Snack	\boxtimes		
	Special Milk Program			
	Seamless Summer Option			
2.	Does the School Food Authority ope	erate under any Special Provisions? (These provisions		
	are an alternative to household applications for free and reduced price meals in high poverty areas.)			
	Community Eligibility Provision			
	Special Provision 1			
	Special Provision 2			
	Special Provision 3	П		

Review Findings

3.	Were	any findings identified during the review of this SFA? \boxtimes YES \square NO If yes, indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the findings review below.
		REVIEW FINDINGS
		ogram Access and Reimbursement Certification and Benefit Issuance − Validation of the SFA certification of students' eligibility for free or reduced-price meals YES ☒ NO□ Finding Detail:
		-Benefit issuance documentation revealed the Direct Certification Lists, Master and Benefit Issuance lists are not properly managed or kept up to date.
		- The benefit issuance review yielded 16 errors out of 259 total reviewed students in the sample for a total error percentage of 6.17.
	2.	Verification – Validation of the process used by the SFA to confirm selected students' eligibility for free and reduced-price meals YES ☒ NO□ Finding Detail:
		- The verification report was not completed and submitted on time
	3.	Meal Counting and Claiming – Validation of the SFA meal counting and claiming system that accurately counts, records, consolidates, and reports the number of reimbursable meals claimed by category YES ☒ NO□ Finding Detail:
		- Several of the review period meal counts for Bethel and South Royalton did not match the backup documentation.
		- No edit checks are performed before claim submission.



B. Meal Pattern and Nutritional Quality

 Meal Components and Quantities – Validation that meals claimed for reimbursement contain the required meal components / food components and quantities

YES ⊠ NO□ Finding Detail:

- At Sharon Elementary School and South Royalton School the meal documentation is not consistently recording the contributions to the meal pattern.
- 2. Offer versus Serve (OVS) Validation of SFA compliance with provision that allows students to decline some of the food components offered YES □ NO⊠

Finding Detail:

3. **Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis** – Validation that meals offered to children through the school meal programs are consistent with federal standards

Finding Detail:

YES \square NO \boxtimes

C. General Program Compliance

 Civil Rights – Validation of SFA compliance with civil rights requirements as applicable to the Child Nutrition Programs

YES ⊠ NO□

Finding Detail:

- Not all staff involved in the operation of the Child Nutrition Programs within White River Valley Supervisory Union had completed the required Civil Rights training.
- 2. SFA On-site Monitoring Validation that each SFA with more than one school operating the NSLP performs required onsite reviews as specified by regulations YES ☒ NO□

Finding Detail:

- -The SFA did not complete onsite monitoring.
- **3.** Local School Wellness Policy and School Meal Environment– Documentation of compliance with the established Local School Wellness Policy



	YES □ NO⊠ Finding Detail:
4.	Smart Snacks in School – Validation of the SFA compliance with regulations for all food and beverages to students outside of the reimbursable meal YES □ NO⊠ Finding Detail:
5.	Professional Standards – Validation of the SFA compliance with required hiring standards and annual training requirements YES ☒ NO□ Finding Detail:
	- Not all professional development documentation was submitted for review.
6.	Water – Documentation that children have access to water during the lunch and breakfast meal services YES □ NO⊠ Finding Detail:
7.	Food Safety and Storage – Validation that schools meet food safety and storage requirements YES ⊠ NO□ Finding Detail:
	- Milk served during lunch service was left unrefrigerated during the entire meal service.
	- Food temperatures are not consistently being monitored and recorded.
	- Dish machine temperatures are not consistently being monitored and recorded.
8.	Buy American – Documentation that schools comply with Buy American provision and policy specified by regulation YES ⊠ NO□ Finding Detail:
	-Non-compliant Buy American Provision products were identified during the



onsite review that did not have proper exception documentation.

	9.	maintained with other program records as required YES □ NO⊠
		Finding Detail:
	10.	School Breakfast Program and Summer Food Service Program Outreach − Validation that SFA informs families of the availability of breakfasts offered under the School Breakfast Program and meals offered through the Sumer Food Service Program YES □ NO ☒ Finding Detail:
D.	Ot! 1.	her Federal Program Reviews The NSLP Afterschool Snack Service – Documentation that nutritionally-balanced snacks are served, appropriate educational or enrichment activities are provided and counting and claiming is accurate. YES NO N/A Finding Detail:
		- Onsite monitoring for the After School Snack Service was not conducted during the 18/19 school year.
		- The One Planet Afterschool Program at Sharon Elementary School is overtly identifying the free and reduced status of the enrolled students.
	2.	Seamless Summer Option – Evidence that the SFA adheres to the same meal service rules and claiming procedures used during the regular school year YES □ NO □ N/A ☒ Finding Detail:
	3.	Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program – Validation that participating schools increase children's exposure to and consumption of a variety of fruits and vegetables and operate the program as prescribed YES □ NO⊠ N/A□ Finding Detail:
	4.	Special Milk Program – Documentation that the SFA is operating the program in compliance with regulatory requirements and in accordance with the State Agency approved agreement



YES \square NO \square N/A \boxtimes

Finding Detail:

E. Resource Management

1. Resource Management – Validation that SFA ensures the overall financial health of the school food service including non-profit food service account, paid lunch equity, revenue from non-program foods and indirect costs

YES ⊠ NO□

Finding Detail:

-The SFA did not assess compliance with the Revenue from Non-program Foods.

