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## Background

Since 2000, Vermont has gathered information on the readiness of students entering kindergarten by surveying kindergarten teachers about their student's knowledge and skills within the first six to ten weeks of school. The effort to measure school readiness is a collaborative project of the Vermont Agency of Education (AOE), the Department for Children and Families, and the Department of Health. Various surveys for assessing schools' readiness have been conducted since this effort began. The SY2024 Ready for Kindergarten! Survey (R4K!S) marks the minus seventh year of deployment of the survey instrument.

There are many interpretations of what constitutes "readiness." Vermont's concept of student readiness is multidimensional; it includes social and emotional development, communication, physical health, as well as cognitive development, knowledge, and approaches to learning (e.g., enthusiasm for learning, persistence, curiosity). Vermont's concept also reflects the belief that "school readiness" is interactional: students need to be ready for schools, and schools need to be ready to accommodate the diverse needs of each and every child.

The R4K!S is not a direct assessment of students; rather it relies on the teacher's accumulated observational knowledge of the child developed during the first few weeks of kindergarten. The R4K!S, along with its scoring on readiness identification methods, is based on recommendations made as a result of an independent validity review conducted by the American Institutes for Research (AIR) in the spring of 2015.
R4KIS data results comparisons made between SY2016 through SY2024 data are considered valid. It is important to note that readiness results prior to SY2016 are not recommended nor valid comparisons to the SY2016 through SY2024 results. Also, data comparisons for SY2016 through SY2024 should take into consideration the impact of COVID-19.

## Key Facts about R4K!S Measure

During the fall of SY2024 the R4K!S was delivered through a secure online version of Microsoft Forms; teachers completed one survey per child under their instruction. The survey consisted of 34 items across the domains of "Physical Development and Health" (PDH), "Social and Emotional Development" (SED), "Approaches to Learning" (ATL), "Communication" (COM), and "Cognitive Development" (CD). To complete the survey, the teacher rates each child's skills as "beginning," "practicing," or "performing independently" on 28 items and judges if hunger, illness, or fatigue inhibit the child's learning on the remaining six physical development and health-related items. Each student's name, gender, and date of birth collected by the survey are for data verification purposes. By matching the student's unique identifier with the October School Census data, the AOE is able to identify:

- The percentage of boys and girls surveyed.
- Whether each student participated in publicly funded prekindergarten education and for how long.
- The students eligible for Free and Reduced-Price Lunch (FRL).

In order to capture and differentiate kindergarten students' ability levels, the R4K!S uses a "Total Score Approach," a three-point scale at the item (question) level, and the adoption of a four-point scale for readiness identification. Domain scores are used comparatively, to generally identify areas of relative strength and weakness.

The Total Score Approach links a student's score to overall ability. A student identifies as ready if their overall, or "Total Score," places them within the "Practicing" or "Performing Independently" range. To further differentiate the large midrange of student ability resulting from the R4K!S scoring system, total scores are converted into four rating groups, where the central cutoff is the midrange of all items.

## R4KIS Scoring and Readiness Calculations

The R4K!S scoring method to determine readiness is as follows:
A three-point scale 1-"beginning," 2- "practicing," and 3- "performing independently" is used for item (question) scoring for all questions except the six in the Physical Development and Health domain. These Physical Development and Health domain questions are reported as 1- "disagree," 2- "somewhat agree," and 3- "strongly agree."

The "Total Score" refers to the total 3-point score, based on question responses across 32 items. The Total Score excludes two out of the six Physical Development and Health questions. Question 11, "...missing school due to illness or lack of physical health" and question 12, "...begins...well nourished," are collected for descriptive information only. R4K!S uses a four-level scale to calculate overall readiness. Students whose Total Scores fall into categories three and four ("ready and practicing" and "ready and performing independently") are identified as ready.

| Readiness <br> Level | Total <br> Score <br> Range | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Not yet <br> ready | $32-49$ | Student has demonstrated a beginning level of kindergarten <br> readiness on most items. Student may need intensive supports <br> across development areas to reach kindergarten readiness. |
| 2-Approaching <br> ready | $50-63$ | Student has demonstrated at least a practicing level of <br> kindergarten readiness on many items but may need intensive <br> support in some development areas to reach kindergarten <br> readiness. |


| Readiness <br> Level | Total <br> Score <br> Range | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3-Ready and <br> practicing | $64-78$ | Student has demonstrated at least a practicing level of <br> kindergarten readiness on many items but may need intensive <br> support in some development areas to reach kindergarten <br> readiness. |
| 4-Ready and <br> performing <br> independently | $79-96$ | Student has demonstrated at least a practicing level of <br> kindergarten readiness on many items but may need intensive <br> support in some development areas to reach kindergarten <br> readiness. |

In SY2024, 85.37\% of all students statewide identified as ready for kindergarten, with 62.0\% being within category 4 - "ready and performing independently," and $22.0 \%$ being within category $3-$ "ready and practicing."

| Readiness Level | SY2022 <br> Statewide <br> Percentage | SY2023 <br> Statewide <br> Percentage | SY2024 <br> Statewide <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Not yet ready | $5.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| 2-Approaching ready | $9.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| 3-Ready and practicing | $21.0 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ |
| 4-Ready and performing independently | $64.0 \%$ | $63.0 \%$ | $62.0 \%$ |
| Total of all students statewide <br> identified as ready | $86.0 \%$ | $86.0 \%$ | $85.0 \%$ |

## Developmental Domain Scores

Developmental domain scores are included in the report to provide a general sense of relative strengths and weaknesses at the supervisory district level. In the validation report, AIR recommended that developmental domain scores only be used to draw general comparisons between developmental domain levels and between the supervisory district and statewide scores. Totaling scores across developmental domains will not result in data that are useful for understanding readiness.

The 34 items on the R4K!S are clustered into five developmental domains:

1. Physical Development and Health- 6 items, two of which do not contribute to the readiness score
2. Social-Emotional Development- 7 items, all of which contribute to readiness score
3. Approaches to Learning- 7 items, all of which contribute to the readiness score
4. Communication- 3 items, all of which contribute to readiness score
5. Cognitive Development- 11 items, all of which contribute to readiness score

## Supervisory Union/District and Statewide R4KIS Results

Data in the following tables are based on the number of students for whom teachers submitted scores. Teachers did not submit scores for all students in all districts, so in many cases, the data do not reflect the entire student population or all of the schools in the state or a district.
This SY2024 R4K!S report includes four tables Statewide and across Supervisory Union/Districts:

Tables 1-53: Characteristics of Students Surveyed by SU/SD
Table 54: Readiness Rating Groups
Table 55: Readiness and Average Total Score: All students, Free and Reduced Lunch (FRL) and students not eligible for Free and Reduced Lunch (NFRL)
Table 56: Total Domain Scores

## Tables 1-53: Characteristics of Students Surveyed

These tables provide a summary of the characteristics of surveyed kindergarten students broken out by several demographic groups reporting statewide and supervisory union/district data. The category, "Did Not Attend Prequalified Publicly Funded Pre-K" includes both students who attended preschool or childcare that was not publicly funded and those who did not attend preschool or childcare. Because this statistic includes both groups, definitive conclusions cannot be made concerning the effect of PreK attendance on readiness on the basis of this data. Table cells reading "***" indicate the count of students is 11 or lower and the data has been suppressed to protect student identities and personally identifiable information. Table cells reading " $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ " indicate not applicable while those reading "++" indicate 100.

Table 1: State

| STATE | Total <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students <br> Surveyed | Average <br> Total <br> Score | Number <br> Ready | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total Enrollment | 5178 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| All Students <br> Surveyed | 4579 | $88.0 \%$ | 80.0 | 3909 | $85.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 2320 | $51.0 \%$ | 77.0 | 1874 | $81.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 2259 | $49.0 \%$ | 82.0 | 2035 | $90.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced <br> Lunch Eligible | 708 | $15.0 \%$ | 73.0 | 515 | $73.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and <br> Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 3871 | $85.0 \%$ | 81.02 | 3394 | $88.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly <br> Funded PreK | 3721 | $81.0 \%$ | 80.52 | 3233 | $87.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend <br> Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 858 | $19.0 \%$ | 76.0 | 676 | $79.0 \%$ |

Table 2: Addison Central Supervisory District

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 114 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 99 | ++ | 80.0 | $86.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 54 | $55.0 \%$ | 77.0 | $81.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 45 | $45.0 \%$ | 84.0 | $91.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 16 | $16.0 \%$ | 72.0 | $69.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 83 | $84.0 \%$ | 82.0 | $89.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 76 | $77.0 \%$ | 79.0 | $84.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 23 | $23.0 \%$ | 84.0 | $91.0 \%$ |

Table 3: Addison Northwest Supervisory District

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 59 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| All Students Surveyed | 58 | ++ | 79.0 | $88.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 24 | $41.0 \%$ | 76.0 | $88.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 34 | $59.0 \%$ | 82.0 | $88.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 58 | $100.0 \%$ | 79.0 | $88.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 41 | $71.0 \%$ | 80.0 | $88.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 17 | $29.0 \%$ | 77.0 | $88.0 \%$ |

## Table 4: Barre Unified Union School District

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 166 | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| All Students Surveyed | 147 | ++ | 73.0 | $76.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 71 | $48.0 \%$ | 70.0 | $69.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 76 | $52.0 \%$ | 76.0 | $83.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 32 | $22.0 \%$ | 63.0 | $59.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 115 | $78.0 \%$ | 76.0 | $81.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 120 | $82.0 \%$ | 74.0 | $78.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 27 | $18.0 \%$ | 68.0 | $67.0 \%$ |

## Table 5: Bennington Rutland Supervisory Union

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 86 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 84 | ++ | 74.0 | $74.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 47 | $56.0 \%$ | 71.0 | $68.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 37 | $44.0 \%$ | 78.0 | $81.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 11 | $13.0 \%$ | 66.0 | $64.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 73 | $87.0 \%$ | 75.0 | $75.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 66 | $79.0 \%$ | 73.0 | $71.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 18 | $21.0 \%$ | 80.0 | $83.0 \%$ |

Table 6: Burlington Supervisory District

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 239 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 222 | ++ | 82.0 | $82.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 124 | $56.0 \%$ | 79.0 | $79.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 98 | $44.0 \%$ | 85.0 | $87.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 46 | $21.0 \%$ | 77.0 | $76.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 176 | $79.0 \%$ | 83.0 | $84.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 186 | $84.0 \%$ | 82.0 | $84.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 36 | $16.0 \%$ | 80.0 | $75.0 \%$ |

## Table 7: Caledonia Central Supervisory Union

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total <br> Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 71 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 71 | ++ | 79.0 | $86.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 33 | $46.0 \%$ | 75.0 | $73.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 38 | $54.0 \%$ | 82.0 | $97.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch Eligible | 15 | $21.0 \%$ | 80.0 | $87.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 56 | $79.0 \%$ | 79.0 | $86.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 60 | $85.0 \%$ | 78.0 | $83.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 11 | $15.0 \%$ | 84.0 | $100.0 \%$ |

## Table 8: Central Vermont Supervisory Union

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 77 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 77 | ++ | 73.0 | $75.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 39 | $51.0 \%$ | 67.0 | $62.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 38 | $49.0 \%$ | 79.0 | $89.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 13 | $17.0 \%$ | 63.0 | $54.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 64 | $83.0 \%$ | 75.0 | $80.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 66 | $86.0 \%$ | 74.0 | $79.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 11 | $14.0 \%$ | 64.0 | $55.0 \%$ |

## Table 9: Champlain Valley Supervisory District

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 215 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 193 | ++ | 85.0 | $94.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 97 | $50.0 \%$ | 82.0 | $90.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 96 | $50.0 \%$ | 88.0 | $98.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 165 | $85.0 \%$ | 87.0 | $96.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 28 | $15.0 \%$ | 77.0 | $79.0 \%$ |

## Table 10: Colchester School District

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 126 | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| All Students Surveyed | 124 | ++ | 81.0 | $86.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 60 | $48.0 \%$ | 79.0 | $83.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 64 | $52.0 \%$ | 84.0 | $89.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 11 | $9.0 \%$ | 79.0 | $82.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 113 | $91.0 \%$ | 82.0 | $87.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 98 | $79.0 \%$ | 84.0 | $91.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 26 | $21.0 \%$ | 70.0 | $69.0 \%$ |

## Table 11: Essex North Supervisory Union

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | $* * *$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| All Students Surveyed | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Boys | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Girls | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |

## Table 12: Essex-Westford Unified Union SD

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 209 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 207 | ++ | 84.0 | $95.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 109 | $53.0 \%$ | 80.0 | $91.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 98 | $47.0 \%$ | 87.0 | $99.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 12 | $6.0 \%$ | 65.0 | $67.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 195 | $94.0 \%$ | 85.0 | $96.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 181 | $87.0 \%$ | 84.0 | $94.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 26 | $13.0 \%$ | 84.0 | $96.0 \%$ |

## Table 13: Franklin Northeast Supervisory Union

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 138 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 138 | ++ | 78.0 | $87.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 68 | $49.0 \%$ | 76.0 | $84.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 70 | $51.0 \%$ | 80.0 | $90.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 29 | $21.0 \%$ | 73.0 | $76.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 109 | $79.0 \%$ | 80.0 | $90.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 108 | $78.0 \%$ | 79.0 | $90.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 30 | $22.0 \%$ | 74.0 | $77.0 \%$ |

Table 14: Franklin West Supervisory Union

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 136 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 136 | ++ | 80.0 | $85.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 66 | $49.0 \%$ | 81.0 | $89.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 70 | $51.0 \%$ | 80.0 | $81.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 118 | $87.0 \%$ | 81.0 | $86.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 18 | $13.0 \%$ | 77.0 | $83.0 \%$ |

Table 15: Grand Isle Supervisory Union

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 52 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 36 | ++ | 78.0 | $86.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 19 | $53.0 \%$ | 74.0 | $74.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 17 | $47.0 \%$ | 83.0 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded <br> PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |

Table 16: Greater Rutland County Supervisory Union

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 85 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 85 | ++ | 77.0 | $80.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 42 | $49.0 \%$ | 74.0 | $74.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 43 | $51.0 \%$ | 79.0 | $86.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 15 | $18.0 \%$ | 61.0 | $53.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 70 | $82.0 \%$ | 80.0 | $86.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |

## Table 17: Hartford School District

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 94 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 94 | ++ | 75.0 | $80.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 51 | $54.0 \%$ | 74.0 | $78.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 43 | $46.0 \%$ | 75.0 | $81.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 13 | $14.0 \%$ | 66.0 | $69.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 81 | $86.0 \%$ | 76.0 | $81.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 79 | $84.0 \%$ | 75.0 | $82.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 15 | $16.0 \%$ | 70.0 | $67.0 \%$ |

Table 18: Harwood Unified Union School District

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 119 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 83 | ++ | 85.0 | $94.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 43 | $52.0 \%$ | 82.0 | $91.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 40 | $48.0 \%$ | 89.0 | $98.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |

## Table 19: Kingdom East School District

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 84 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 84 | ++ | 80.0 | $88.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 41 | $49.0 \%$ | 77.0 | $80.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 43 | $51.0 \%$ | 83.0 | $95.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 27 | $32.0 \%$ | 79.0 | $85.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 57 | $68.0 \%$ | 81.0 | $89.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 67 | $80.0 \%$ | 81.0 | $88.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 17 | $20.0 \%$ | 77.0 | $88.0 \%$ |

## Table 20: Lamoille North Supervisory Union

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 89 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 85 | ++ | 79.0 | $85.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 42 | $49.0 \%$ | 76.0 | $79.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 43 | $51.0 \%$ | 82.0 | $91.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 12 | $14.0 \%$ | 68.0 | $67.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 73 | $86.0 \%$ | 81.0 | $88.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 74 | $87.0 \%$ | 79.0 | $84.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 11 | $13.0 \%$ | 82.0 | $91.0 \%$ |

## Table 21: Lamoille South Supervisory Union

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 90 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 79 | ++ | 80.0 | $87.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 36 | $46.0 \%$ | 75.0 | $78.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 43 | $54.0 \%$ | 84.0 | $95.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |

Table 22: Lincoln School District

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | $* * *$ | n/a | n/a | $n / a$ |
| All Students Surveyed | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Boys | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Girls | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |

## Table 23: Maple Run Unified School District

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 152 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 125 | ++ | 79.0 | $85.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 63 | $50.0 \%$ | 76.0 | $78.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 62 | $50.0 \%$ | 82.0 | $92.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 26 | $21.0 \%$ | 72.0 | $69.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 99 | $79.0 \%$ | 81.0 | $89.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 104 | $83.0 \%$ | 78.0 | $83.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 21 | $17.0 \%$ | 82.0 | $95.0 \%$ |

Table 24: Mill River Unified Union School District

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 38 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 38 | ++ | 75.0 | $82.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 22 | $58.0 \%$ | 69.0 | $68.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 16 | $42.0 \%$ | 84.0 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |

## Table 25: Milton Town SD

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 95 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 82 | ++ | 79.0 | $85.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 39 | $48.0 \%$ | 76.0 | $79.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 43 | $52.0 \%$ | 81.0 | $91.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 20 | $24.0 \%$ | 72.0 | $75.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 62 | $76.0 \%$ | 81.0 | $89.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 67 | $82.0 \%$ | 78.0 | $84.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 15 | $18.0 \%$ | 82.0 | $93.0 \%$ |

Table 26: Missisquoi Valley School District

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 148 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 127 | ++ | 78.0 | $85.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 60 | $47.0 \%$ | 79.0 | $88.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 67 | $53.0 \%$ | 78.0 | $82.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 14 | $11.0 \%$ | 80.0 | $93.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 113 | $89.0 \%$ | 78.0 | $84.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 93 | $73.0 \%$ | 80.0 | $88.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 34 | $27.0 \%$ | 75.0 | $76.0 \%$ |

## Table 27: Montpelier Roxbury School District

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 67 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 67 | ++ | 83.0 | $90.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 34 | $51.0 \%$ | 80.0 | $82.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 33 | $49.0 \%$ | 86.0 | $97.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 51 | $76.0 \%$ | 84.0 | $92.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 16 | $24.0 \%$ | 80.0 | $81.0 \%$ |

Table 28: Mount Mansfield Unified Union School District

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 161 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 151 | ++ | 86.0 | $96.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 68 | $45.0 \%$ | 84.0 | $94.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 83 | $55.0 \%$ | 88.0 | $98.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 13 | $9.0 \%$ | 79.0 | $92.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 138 | $91.0 \%$ | 87.0 | $96.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 136 | $90.0 \%$ | 87.0 | $97.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 15 | $10.0 \%$ | 80.0 | $87.0 \%$ |

## Table 29: Mountain Views Supervisory Union

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 82 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 49 | ++ | 86.0 | $94.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 24 | $49.0 \%$ | 87.0 | $96.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 25 | $51.0 \%$ | 85.0 | $92.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |

Table 30: Mt. Abraham Unified Union SD

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 98 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 40 | ++ | 75.0 | $78.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 16 | $40.0 \%$ | 73.0 | $75.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 24 | $60.0 \%$ | 76.0 | $79.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |

## Table 31: North Country Supervisory Union

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 185 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 185 | ++ | 79.0 | $82.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 84 | $45.0 \%$ | 75.0 | $70.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 101 | $55.0 \%$ | 82.0 | $91.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 54 | $29.0 \%$ | 78.0 | $83.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 131 | $71.0 \%$ | 79.0 | $81.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 157 | $85.0 \%$ | 81.0 | $87.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 28 | $15.0 \%$ | 67.0 | $54.0 \%$ |

Table 32: Orange East Supervisory Union

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 125 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 125 | ++ | 82.0 | $87.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 69 | $55.0 \%$ | 79.0 | $84.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 56 | $45.0 \%$ | 85.0 | $91.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 20 | $16.0 \%$ | 78.0 | $70.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 105 | $84.0 \%$ | 83.0 | $90.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 90 | $72.0 \%$ | 82.0 | $87.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 35 | $28.0 \%$ | 81.0 | $89.0 \%$ |

## Table 33: Orange Southwest Unified Union SD

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 53 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 42 | ++ | 82.0 | $90.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 23 | $55.0 \%$ | 77.0 | $83.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 19 | $45.0 \%$ | 88.0 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 27 | $64.0 \%$ | 84.0 | $96.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 15 | $36.0 \%$ | 77.0 | $80.0 \%$ |

## Table 34: Orleans Central Supervisory Union

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 55 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 55 | ++ | 78.0 | $80.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 24 | $44.0 \%$ | 77.0 | $79.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 31 | $56.0 \%$ | 78.0 | $81.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 14 | $25.0 \%$ | 71.0 | $64.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 41 | $75.0 \%$ | 80.0 | $85.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 41 | $75.0 \%$ | 77.0 | $78.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 14 | $25.0 \%$ | 80.0 | $86.0 \%$ |

## Table 35: Orleans Southwest Supervisory Union

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 53 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 28 | ++ | 84.0 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 15 | $54.0 \%$ | 86.0 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 13 | $46.0 \%$ | 82.0 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 28 | $100.0 \%$ | 84.0 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |

Table 36: Rivendell Interstate School District

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 23 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 23 | ++ | 87.0 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 11 | $48.0 \%$ | 86.0 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 12 | $52.0 \%$ | 88.0 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |

## Table 37: Rutland City School District

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 107 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 105 | ++ | 75.0 | $75.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 50 | $48.0 \%$ | 71.0 | $66.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 55 | $52.0 \%$ | 79.0 | $84.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 28 | $27.0 \%$ | 68.0 | $61.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 77 | $73.0 \%$ | 78.0 | $81.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 67 | $64.0 \%$ | 74.0 | $73.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 38 | $36.0 \%$ | 77.0 | $79.0 \%$ |

Table 38: Rutland Northeast Supervisory Union

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 92 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 88 | ++ | 76.0 | $81.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 45 | $51.0 \%$ | 73.0 | $76.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 43 | $49.0 \%$ | 79.0 | $86.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 18 | $20.0 \%$ | 65.0 | $61.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 70 | $80.0 \%$ | 79.0 | $86.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 65 | $74.0 \%$ | 76.0 | $80.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 23 | $26.0 \%$ | 78.0 | $83.0 \%$ |

## Table 39: Saint Johnsbury School District

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 55 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 34 | ++ | 79.0 | $85.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 17 | $50.0 \%$ | 74.0 | $76.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 17 | $50.0 \%$ | 83.0 | $94.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 13 | $38.0 \%$ | 77.0 | $85.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 21 | $62.0 \%$ | 79.0 | $86.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |

## Table 40: School Administrative Unit \#70

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 43 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 42 | ++ | 91.0 | $98.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 13 | $31.0 \%$ | 92.0 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 29 | $69.0 \%$ | 91.0 | $97.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 42 | $100.0 \%$ | 91.0 | $98.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |

## Table 41: Slate Valley Unified Union School District

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 78 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 76 | ++ | 80.0 | $88.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 45 | $59.0 \%$ | 77.0 | $89.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 31 | $41.0 \%$ | 84.0 | $87.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 58 | $76.0 \%$ | 81.0 | $90.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 18 | $24.0 \%$ | 76.0 | $83.0 \%$ |

## Table 42: South Burlington School District

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 159 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 132 | ++ | 80.0 | $86.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 74 | $56.0 \%$ | 78.0 | $85.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 58 | $44.0 \%$ | 83.0 | $88.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 19 | $14.0 \%$ | 77.0 | $84.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 113 | $86.0 \%$ | 81.0 | $87.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 113 | $86.0 \%$ | 81.0 | $88.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 19 | $14.0 \%$ | 75.0 | $74.0 \%$ |

## Table 43: Southwest Vermont Supervisory Union

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 210 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 154 | ++ | 78.0 | $82.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 73 | $47.0 \%$ | 77.0 | $78.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 81 | $53.0 \%$ | 79.0 | $86.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 43 | $28.0 \%$ | 77.0 | $79.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 111 | $72.0 \%$ | 78.0 | $84.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 110 | $71.0 \%$ | 81.0 | $87.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 44 | $29.0 \%$ | 72.0 | $70.0 \%$ |

Table 44: Springfield School District

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 82 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 62 | ++ | 73.0 | $71.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 25 | $40.0 \%$ | 65.0 | $52.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 37 | $60.0 \%$ | 78.0 | $84.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 17 | $27.0 \%$ | 66.0 | $47.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 45 | $73.0 \%$ | 76.0 | $80.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |

## Table 45: Two Rivers Supervisory Union

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 68 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 68 | ++ | 81.0 | $88.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 33 | $49.0 \%$ | 78.0 | $85.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 35 | $51.0 \%$ | 84.0 | $91.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 12 | $18.0 \%$ | 66.0 | $75.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 56 | $82.0 \%$ | 84.0 | $91.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 51 | $75.0 \%$ | 81.0 | $90.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 17 | $25.0 \%$ | 79.0 | $82.0 \%$ |

Table 46: Washington Central Supervisory District

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 84 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 73 | ++ | 80.0 | $86.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 36 | $49.0 \%$ | 79.0 | $86.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 37 | $51.0 \%$ | 81.0 | $86.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 55 | $75.0 \%$ | 82.0 | $91.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 18 | $25.0 \%$ | 74.0 | $72.0 \%$ |

## Table 47: White River Valley Supervisory Union

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 99 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 93 | ++ | 86.0 | $91.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 56 | $60.0 \%$ | 84.0 | $91.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 37 | $40.0 \%$ | 88.0 | $92.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 71 | $76.0 \%$ | 88.0 | $96.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 22 | $24.0 \%$ | 78.0 | $77.0 \%$ |

Table 48: Windham Central Supervisory Union

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 54 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 47 | ++ | 82.0 | $87.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 27 | $57.0 \%$ | 81.0 | $78.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 20 | $43.0 \%$ | 85.0 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |

## Table 49: Windham Northeast Supervisory Union

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 63 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 43 | ++ | 77.0 | $79.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 23 | $53.0 \%$ | 74.0 | $74.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 20 | $47.0 \%$ | 80.0 | $85.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |

Table 50: Windham Southeast Supervisory Union

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 146 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 146 | ++ | 74.0 | $79.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 86 | $59.0 \%$ | 71.0 | $72.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 60 | $41.0 \%$ | 78.0 | $88.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 35 | $24.0 \%$ | 69.0 | $69.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 111 | $76.0 \%$ | 75.0 | $82.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 114 | $78.0 \%$ | 76.0 | $82.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 32 | $22.0 \%$ | 66.0 | $66.0 \%$ |

## Table 51: Windham Southwest Supervisory Union

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 48 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 35 | ++ | 77.0 | $83.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 19 | $54.0 \%$ | 78.0 | $89.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 16 | $46.0 \%$ | 75.0 | $75.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |

Table 52: Windsor Southeast Supervisory Union

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 92 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 67 | ++ | 81.0 | $90.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 38 | $57.0 \%$ | 78.0 | $82.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 29 | $43.0 \%$ | 84.0 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | 55 | $82.0 \%$ | 83.0 | $93.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | 12 | $18.0 \%$ | 69.0 | $75.0 \%$ |

## Table 53: Winooski Supervisory District

| Demographic Group | Count of <br> Students | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Total Score | Percent <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students Enrolled | 55 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| All Students Surveyed | 51 | ++ | 77.0 | $78.0 \%$ |
| Boys | 29 | $57.0 \%$ | 74.0 | $76.0 \%$ |
| Girls | 22 | $43.0 \%$ | 80.0 | $82.0 \%$ |
| Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 23 | $45.0 \%$ | 73.0 | $65.0 \%$ |
| Not Free and Reduced Lunch <br> Eligible | 28 | $55.0 \%$ | 80.0 | $89.0 \%$ |
| Attended Publicly Funded PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| Did Not Attend Publicly Funded <br> PreK | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |

## Table 54: Readiness Rating Groups

Table 54 below summarizes the percent of students in each readiness score category, 1-4: Not Yet Ready, Approaching Ready, Ready and Practicing, and Ready and Performing Independently. Readiness scores were calculated using cut point-score values that define the limits of each readiness category derived from the total score. Students with readiness scores of three or four (above 64 total points) are identified as "Ready." Previously, the Readiness Rating Groups table had columns representing Ready and Not Ready these columns were removed in 2024 to reduce confusion when looking at the other column headers. These columns can be calculated by the following:

- The 'Ready' category is calculated by adding together the number of students who are 'Performing Independently' with those who are 'Practicing'. This sum is then divided by the total number of surveys submitted to determine the proportion of students who are ready.
- The 'Not Ready' category is calculated by adding together the number of students who are 'Approaching' with those who are 'Not Yet Ready'. This sum is then divided by the total number of surveys submitted to determine the proportion of students who are not ready.

| Supervisory Union/District | Performing <br> Independently | Practicing | Approaching | Not Yet <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| STATE | $62.0 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| ADDISON CENTRAL <br> SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | $69.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| ADDISON NORTHWEST <br> SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | $60.0 \%$ | $28.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| BARRE SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | $46.0 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| BENNINGTON RUTLAND <br> SUPERVISORY UNION | $48.0 \%$ | $26.0 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| BURLINGTON SUPERVISORY <br> DISTRICT | $68.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| CALEDONIA CENTRAL <br> SUPERVISORY UNION | $59.0 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| CENTRAL VERMONT <br> SUPERVISORY UNION | $43.0 \%$ | $31.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ |
| CHAMPLAIN VALLEY <br> SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | $77.0 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |

LEADERSHIP | SUPPORT | OVERSIGHT

| Supervisory Union/District | Performing <br> Independently | Practicing | Approaching | Not Yet <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| COLCHESTER SUPERVISORY <br> DISTRICT | $67.0 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| ESSEX NORTH SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |
| ESSEX-WESTFORD <br> SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | $73.0 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| FRANKLIN NORTHEAST <br> SUPERVISORY UNION | $52.0 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| FRANKLIN WEST SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | $64.0 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| GRAND ISLE SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | $58.0 \%$ | $28.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| GREATER RUTLAND COUNTY <br> SUPERVISORY UNION | $53.0 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ |
| HARTFORD SUPERVISORY <br> DISTRICT | $48.0 \%$ | $29.0 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ |
| HARWOOD UNIFIED UNION <br> SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | $84.0 \%$ | 35 | $10.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| KINGDOM EAST SUPERVISORY <br> DISTRICT | $64.0 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| LAMOILLE NORTH SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | $58.0 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| LAMOILLE SOUTH SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | $59.0 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| LINCOLN SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | $* * *$ | $11.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |  |
| MAPLE RUN SUPERVISORY <br> DISTRICT | $61.0 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| MILL RIVER UNIFIED UNION <br> SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | $45.0 \%$ | $* * * *$ |  |  |
|  | $55.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |  |  |

LEADERSHIP | SUPPORT | OVERSIGHT

| Supervisory Union/District | Performing Independently | Practicing | Approaching | Not Yet Ready |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MISSISQUOI VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT | 57.0\% | 28.0\% | 13.0\% | 3.0\% |
| MONTPELIER ROXBURY SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | 75.0\% | 15.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.0\% |
| MOUNT MANSFIELD UNIFIED UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT | 79.0\% | 17.0\% | 3.0\% | 1.0\% |
| MOUNTAIN VIEWS SUPERVISORY UNION | 82.0\% | 12.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.0\% |
| MT. ABRAHAM UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT | 55.0\% | 22.0\% | 18.0\% | 5.0\% |
| NORTH COUNTRY SUPERVISORY UNION | 63.0\% | 18.0\% | 10.0\% | 9.0\% |
| ORANGE EAST SUPERVISORY UNION | 66.0\% | 21.0\% | 12.0\% | 2.0\% |
| ORANGE SOUTHWEST SUPERVISORY UNION | 69.0\% | 19.0\% | 5.0\% | 7.0\% |
| ORLEANS CENTRAL SUPERVISORY UNION | 56.0\% | 24.0\% | 11.0\% | 9.0\% |
| ORLEANS SOUTHWEST SUPERVISORY UNION | 71.0\% | 29.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| RIVENDELL INTERSTATE SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | 87.0\% | 13.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| RUTLAND CITY SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | 51.0\% | 24.0\% | 14.0\% | 10.0\% |
| RUTLAND NORTHEAST SUPERVISORY UNION | 49.0\% | 31.0\% | 12.0\% | 8.0\% |
| SAINT JOHNSBURY SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | 65.0\% | 18.0\% | 6.0\% | 12.0\% |
| SCHOOL ADMINISTRATIVE UNIT \#70 | 95.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% |


| Supervisory Union/District | Performing <br> Independently | Practicing | Approaching | Not Yet <br> Ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SLATE VALLEY UNIFIED UNION <br> SCHOOL DISTRICT | $61.0 \%$ | $28.0 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| SOUTH BURLINGTON <br> SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | $65.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| SOUTHWEST VERMONT <br> SUPERVISORY UNION | $60.0 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| SPRINGFIELD SUPERVISORY <br> DISTRICT | $44.0 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ |
| TWO RIVERS SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | $62.0 \%$ | $24.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| WASHINGTON CENTRAL <br> SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | $62.0 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| WHITE RIVER VALLEY <br> SUPERVISORY UNION | $78.0 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| WINDHAM CENTRAL <br> SUPERVISORY UNION | $72.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| WINDHAM NORTHEAST <br> SUPERVISORY UNION | $60.0 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| WINDHAM SOUTHEAST <br> SUPERVISORY UNION | $45.0 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| WINDHAM SOUTHWEST <br> SUPERVISORY UNION | $22.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |  |
| WINDSOR SOUTHEAST <br> SUPERVISORY UNION | $27.0 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |  |
| WINOOSKI SUPERVISORY <br> DISTRICT | $11.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |  |  |

## Table 55: Readiness and Average Total Score: All students, Free and Reduced Lunch (FRL), and students not eligible for Free and Reduced Lunch (NFRL)

Table 55 below compares the percent ready and average total score of all students, Free and Reduced Lunch (FRL) eligible students, and students not eligible for Free and Reduced Lunch (NFRL). Students with readiness scores above 64 points are identified as "Ready." The "Mean Total Score" is the average across the 32 items (questions) that comprise the R4K!S.

| Supervisory <br> Union/District | Percent of <br> Surveyed <br> Students <br> FRL <br> Eligible | Percent <br> of all <br> Students <br> Ready | Percent <br> of Ready <br> Students <br> are FRL <br> Eligible | Percent of <br> Ready <br> Students <br> that are <br> NFRL <br> Eligible | All <br> Students <br> Mean <br> Total <br> Score | FRL <br> Eligible <br> Students <br> Mean <br> Total <br> Score | NFRL <br> Students <br> Mean Total <br> Score |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| STATE | $15.0 \%$ | $85.0 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $87.0 \%$ | 80.0 | 73.0 | 81.0 |
| ADDISON <br> CENTRAL <br> SUPERVISORY <br> DISTRICT | $16.0 \%$ | $86.0 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $87.0 \%$ | 80.0 | 72.0 | 82.0 |
| ADDISON <br> NORTHWEST <br> SUPERVISORY <br> DISTRICT | $0.0 \%$ | $88.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | 79.0 | 0.0 | 79.0 |
| BARRE UNIFIED <br> UNION | $22.0 \%$ | $76.0 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $83.0 \%$ | 73.0 | 63.0 | 76.0 |
| BENNINGTON <br> RUTLAND <br> SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | $13.0 \%$ | $74.0 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $89.0 \%$ | 74.0 | 66.0 | 75.0 |
| BURLINGTON <br> SUPERVISORY <br> DISTRICT | $21.0 \%$ | $82.0 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $81.0 \%$ | 82.0 | 77.0 | 83.0 |
| CALEDONIA <br> CENTRAL | $21.0 \%$ | $86.0 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $79.0 \%$ | 79.0 | 80.0 | 79.0 |


| Supervisory Union/District | Percent of Surveyed Students FRL Eligible | Percent of all Students Ready | Percent of Ready Students are FRL Eligible | Percent of Ready Students that are NFRL Eligible | All <br> Students <br> Mean <br> Total <br> Score | FRL <br> Eligible <br> Students <br> Mean <br> Total <br> Score | NFRL <br> Students <br> Mean Total <br> Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CENTRAL VERMONT SUPERVISORY UNION | 17.0\% | 75.0\% | 12.0\% | 88.0\% | 73.0 | 63.0 | 75.0 |
| CHAMPLAIN VALLEY SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | *** | 94.0\% | *** | *** | *** | *** | 86.0 |
| COLCHESTER SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | 9.0\% | 86.0\% | 8.0\% | 92.0\% | 81.0 | 79.0 | 82.0 |
| ESSEX NORTH SUPERVISORY UNION | *** | ** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** |
| ESSEX- <br> WESTFORD <br> SUPERVISORY <br> DISTRICT | 6.0\% | 95.0\% | 4.0\% | 96.0\% | 84.0 | 65.0 | 85.0 |
| FRANKLIN NORTHEAST | 21.0\% | 87.0\% | 18.0\% | 82.0\% | 78.0 | 73.0 | 80.0 |
| FRANKLIN WEST SUPERVISORY UNION | *** | 85.0\% | *** | *** | *** | *** | 80.0 |
| GRAND ISLE SUPERVISORY UNION | *** | 86.0\% | *** | *** | *** | *** | 79.0 |
| GREATER <br> RUTLAND COUNTY SUPERVISORY UNION | 18.0\% | 80.0\% | 12.0\% | 88.0\% | 77.0 | 61.0 | 80.0 |


| Supervisory <br> Union/District | Percent of <br> Surveyed <br> Students <br> FRL <br> Eligible | Percent <br> of all <br> Students <br> Ready | Percent <br> of Ready <br> Students <br> are FRL <br> Eligible | Percent of <br> Ready <br> Students <br> that are <br> NFRL <br> Eligible | All <br> Students <br> Mean <br> Total <br> Score | FRL <br> Eligible <br> Students <br> Mean <br> Total <br> Score | NFRL <br> Students <br> Mean Total <br> Score |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HARTFORD <br> SUPERVISORY <br> DISTRICT | $14.0 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $88.0 \%$ | 75.0 | 66.0 | 76.0 |
| HARWOOD <br> UNIFIED UNION <br> SUPERVISORY <br> DISTRICT | $* * *$ | $94.0 \%$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | 85.0 |
| KINGDOM EAST <br> SUPERVISORY <br> DISTRICT | $32.0 \%$ | $88.0 \%$ | $31.0 \%$ | $69.0 \%$ | 80.0 | 79.0 | 81.0 |
| LAMOILLE <br> NORTH <br> SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | $14.0 \%$ | $85.0 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $89.0 \%$ | 79.0 | 68.0 | 81.0 |
| LAMOILLE <br> SOUTH <br> SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | $10.0 \%$ | $87.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $91.0 \%$ | 80.0 | 66.0 | 81.0 |
| LINCOLN <br> SUPERVISORY <br> DISTRICT | $0.0 \%$ | $90.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | 83.0 | 0.0 | 81.0 |
| MAPLE RUN <br> SUPERVISORY <br> DISTRICT | $21.0 \%$ | $85.0 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $83.0 \%$ | 79.0 | 72.0 | 81.0 |
| MILL RIVER <br> UNIFIED UNION <br> SUPERVISORY <br> DISTRICT | $* * *$ | $85.0 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $79.0 \%$ | 79.0 | 72.0 | 81.0 |
| MILTON <br> SUPERVISORY <br> DISTRICT | $24.0 \%$ | $82.0 \%$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | 86.0 |


| Supervisory <br> Union/District | Percent of <br> Surveyed <br> Students <br> FRL <br> Eligible | Percent <br> of all <br> Students <br> Ready | Percent <br> of Ready <br> Students <br> are FRL <br> Eligible | Percent of <br> Ready <br> Students <br> that are <br> NFRL <br> Eligible | All <br> Students <br> Mean <br> Total <br> Score | FRL <br> Eligible <br> Students <br> Mean <br> Total <br> Score | NFRL <br> Students <br> Mean Total <br> Score |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MISSISQUOI <br> VALLEY SCHOOL <br> DISTRICT | $11.0 \%$ | $85.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $88.0 \%$ | 78.0 | 80.0 | 78.0 |
| MONTPELIER <br> ROXBURY <br> SUPERVISORY <br> DISTRICT | $* * *$ | $90.0 \%$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | 85.0 |
| MOUNT <br> MANSFIELD <br> UNIFIED UNION <br> SCHOOL <br> DISTRICT | $9.0 \%$ | $96.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $92.0 \%$ | 86.0 | 79.0 | 87.0 |
| MOUNTAIN <br> VIEWS <br> SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | $* * *$ | $94.0 \%$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | 88.0 |
| MT. ABRAHAM <br> UNIFIED | $* * *$ | $78.0 \%$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | 75.0 |
| NORTH <br> COUNTRY <br> SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | $29.0 \%$ | $82.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ | 79.0 | 78.0 | 79.0 |
| ORANGE EAST <br> SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | $16.0 \%$ | $87.0 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $87.0 \%$ | 82.0 | 78.0 | 83.0 |
| ORANGE <br> SOUTHWEST <br> SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | $* * *$ | $90.0 \%$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | 82.0 |
| ORLEANS <br> CENTRAL <br> SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | $25.0 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ | 78.0 | 71.0 | 80.0 |


| Supervisory Union/District | Percent of <br> Surveyed <br> Students <br> FRL <br> Eligible | Percent of all Students Ready | Percent of Ready Students are FRL Eligible | Percent of Ready Students that are NFRL Eligible | All <br> Students <br> Mean <br> Total <br> Score | FRL Eligible Students Mean Total Score | NFRL <br> Students <br> Mean Total Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ORLEANS SOUTHWEST SUPERVISORY UNION | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 84.0 | 0.0 | 84.0 |
| RIVENDELL INTERSTATE SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | *** | 100.0\% | *** | *** | *** | *** | 87.0 |
| RUTLAND CITY SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | 27.0\% | 75.0\% | 22.0\% | 78.0\% | 75.0 | 68.0 | 78.0 |
| RUTLAND NORTHEAST SUPERVISORY UNION | 20.0\% | 81.0\% | 15.0\% | 85.0\% | 76.0 | 65.0 | 79.0 |
| SAINT JOHNSBURY SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | 38.0\% | 85.0\% | 38.0\% | 62.0\% | 79.0 | 77.0 | 79.0 |
| SCHOOL ADMIN UNIT \#70 | 0.0\% | 98.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 91.0 | 0.0 | 91.0 |
| SLATE VALLEY UNIFIED UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT | *** | 88.0\% | *** | *** | *** | *** | 80.0 |
| SOUTH <br> BURLINGTON <br> SUPERVISORY <br> DISTRICT | 14.0\% | 86.0\% | 14.0\% | 86.0\% | 80.0 | 77.0 | 81.0 |
| SOUTHWEST VERMONT SUPERVISORY UNION | 28.0\% | 82.0\% | 27.0\% | 73.0\% | 78.0 | 77.0 | 78.0 |


| Supervisory <br> Union/District | Percent of <br> Surveyed <br> Students <br> FRL <br> Eligible | Percent <br> of all <br> Students <br> Ready | Percent <br> of Ready <br> Students <br> are FRL <br> Eligible | Percent of <br> Ready <br> Students <br> that are <br> NFRL <br> Eligible | All <br> Students <br> Mean <br> Total <br> Score | FRL <br> Eligible <br> Students <br> Mean <br> Total <br> Score | NFRL <br> Students <br> Mean Total <br> Score |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SPRINGFIELD <br> SUPERVISORY <br> DISTRICT | $27.0 \%$ | $71.0 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | $82.0 \%$ | 73.0 | 66.0 | 76.0 |
| TWO RIVERS <br> SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | $18.0 \%$ | $88.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $85.0 \%$ | 81.0 | 66.0 | 84.0 |
| WASHINGTON <br> CENTRAL <br> SUPERVISORY <br> DISTRICT | $* * *$ | $86.0 \%$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | 81.0 |
| WHITE RIVER <br> VALLEY <br> SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | $* * *$ | $91.0 \%$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | 86.0 |
| WINDHAM <br> CENTRAL <br> SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | $* * *$ | $87.0 \%$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | 83.0 |
| WINDHAM <br> NORTHEAST <br> SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | $* * *$ | $79.0 \%$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | 78.0 |
| WINDHAM <br> SOUTHEAST <br> SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | $24.0 \%$ | $79.0 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $79.0 \%$ | 74.0 | 69.0 | 76.0 |
| WINDHAM <br> SOUTHWEST <br> SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | $* * *$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Supervisory <br> Union/District | Percent of <br> Surveyed <br> Students <br> FRL <br> Eligible | Percent <br> of all <br> Students <br> Ready | Percent <br> of Ready <br> Students <br> are FRL <br> Eligible | Percent of <br> Ready <br> Students <br> that are <br> NFRL <br> Eligible | All <br> Students <br> Mean <br> Total <br> Score | FRL <br> Eligible <br> Students <br> Mean <br> Total <br> Score | NFRL <br> Students <br> Mean Total <br> Score |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| WINDSOR <br> SOUTHEAST <br> SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | $* * *$ | $90.0 \%$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | 81.0 |
| WINOOSKI <br> SUPERVISORY <br> DISTRICT | $45.0 \%$ | $78.0 \%$ | $38.0 \%$ | $62.0 \%$ | 77.0 | 73.0 | 80.0 |

## Table 56: Total Domain Scores

Domain scores are included in the report to provide a general sense of relative strengths and weaknesses at the supervisory district level. In the validation report, AIR recommended that domain scores only be used to draw general comparisons between domain levels and between the supervisory district and statewide scores. Totaling scores across domains will not result in data that are useful for understanding readiness.

The 34 items on the R4K!S are clustered into five domains:

1. Physical Development and Health- 6 items, two of which do not contribute to readiness score.
2. Social-Emotional Development- 7 items, all of which contribute to readiness score
3. Approaches to Learning-7 items, all of which contribute to readiness score
4. Communication-3 items, all of which contribute to readiness score.
5. Cognitive Development-11 items, all of which contribute to readiness score

Table 56 below shows the average scores in each domain for the state and for each SU/SD.

| State/SU/SD <br> Name | Physical <br> Development <br> and Health | Social <br> Emotional <br> Development | Approaches to <br> Learning | Communication | Cognitive <br> Development |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of <br> Questions | 6 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 11 |
| Score Range | $6-18$ | $7-21$ | $7-21$ | $3-9$ | $11-33$ |
| STATE | 16.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 8.0 | 27.0 |

LEADERSHIP | SUPPORT | OVERSIGHT

| State/SU/SD Name | Physical Development and Health | Social Emotional Development | Approaches to Learning | Communication | Cognitive Development |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADDISON CENTRAL SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | 16.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 8.0 | 28.0 |
| ADDISON <br> NORTHWEST <br> SUPERVISORY <br> DISTRICT | 16.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 8.0 | 27.0 |
| BARRE SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | 15.0 | 17.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 24.0 |
| BENNINGTON <br> RUTLAND <br> SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | 16.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 26.0 |
| BURLINGTON SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | 16.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 8.0 | 28.0 |
| CALEDONIA CENTRAL SUPERVISORY UNION | 16.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 8.0 | 26.0 |
| CENTRAL VERMONT SUPERVISORY UNION | 15.0 | 16.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 24.0 |
| CHAMPLAIN VALLEY SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | 17.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 8.0 | 29.0 |
| COLCHESTER SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 8.0 | 29.0 |

LEADERSHIP | SUPPORT | OVERSIGHT

| State/SU/SD Name | Physical Development and Health | Social Emotional Development | Approaches to Learning | Communication | Cognitive Development |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ESSEX NORTH SUPERVISORY UNION | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** |
| ESSEX- <br> WESTFORD <br> SUPERVISORY <br> DISTRICT | 17.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 8.0 | 29.0 |
| FRANKLIN NORTHEAST SUPERVISORY UNION | 16.0 | 17.0 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 27.0 |
| FRANKLIN WEST SUPERVISORY UNION | 16.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 8.0 | 28.0 |
| GRAND ISLE SUPERVISORY UNION | 16.0 | 17.0 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 26.0 |
| GREATER <br> RUTLAND COUNTY SUPERVISORY UNION | 15.0 | 17.0 | 16.0 | 7.0 | 27.0 |
| HARTFORD SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | 15.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 25.0 |
| HARWOOD UNIFIED UNION SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | 17.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 8.0 | 30.0 |
| KINGDOM EAST SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | 16.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 8.0 | 28.0 |

LEADERSHIP | SUPPORT | OVERSIGHT

| State/SU/SD Name | Physical Development and Health | Social Emotional Development | Approaches to Learning | Communication | Cognitive Development |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAMOILLE <br> NORTH <br> SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | 17.0 | 16.0 | 17.0 | 7.0 | 28.0 |
| LAMOILLE SOUTH SUPERVISORY UNION | 16.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 8.0 | 27.0 |
| LINCOLN SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** |
| MAPLE RUN SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | 16.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 8.0 | 27.0 |
| MILL RIVER UNIFIED UNION SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 25.0 |
| MILTON SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | 16.0 | 17.0 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 28.0 |
| MISSISQUOI <br> VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT | 16.0 | 17.0 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 26.0 |
| MONTPELIER ROXBURY SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | 17.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 8.0 | 28.0 |
| MOUNT <br> MANSFIELD <br> UNIFIED UNION <br> SCHOOL <br> DISTRICT | 17.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 8.0 | 30.0 |

LEADERSHIP | SUPPORT | OVERSIGHT

| State/SU/SD Name | Physical Development and Health | Social Emotional Development | Approaches to Learning | Communication | Cognitive Development |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MOUNTAIN VIEWS SUPERVISORY UNION | 17.0 | 19.0 | 18.0 | 8.0 | 30.0 |
| MT. ABRAHAM UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT | 16.0 | 17.0 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 25.0 |
| NORTH COUNTRY SUPERVISORY UNION | 16.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 8.0 | 26.0 |
| ORANGE EAST SUPERVISORY UNION | 16.0 | 18.0 | 17.0 | 8.0 | 27.0 |
| ORANGE <br> SOUTHWEST <br> SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | 16.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 8.0 | 30.0 |
| ORLEANS CENTRAL SUPERVISORY UNION | 16.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 8.0 | 26.0 |
| ORLEANS SOUTHWEST SUPERVISORY UNION | 17.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 8.0 | 29.0 |
| RIVENDELL INTERSTATE SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | 17.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 9.0 | 30.0 |
| RUTLAND CITY SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 25.0 |

LEADERSHIP | SUPPORT | OVERSIGHT

| State/SU/SD Name | Physical Development and Health | Social Emotional Development | Approaches to Learning | Communication | Cognitive Development |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RUTLAND NORTHEAST SUPERVISORY UNION | 16.0 | 17.0 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 26.0 |
| SAINT JOHNSBURY SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | 16.0 | 18.0 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 26.0 |
| SCHOOL ADMINISTRATIV E UNIT \#70 | 18.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 9.0 | 31.0 |
| SLATE VALLEY UNIFIED UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT | 17.0 | 18.0 | 17.0 | 8.0 | 26.0 |
| SOUTH <br> BURLINGTON <br> SUPERVISORY <br> DISTRICT | 16.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 8.0 | 28.0 |
| SOUTHWEST VERMONT SUPERVISORY UNION | 16.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 8.0 | 26.0 |
| SPRINGFIELD SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | 15.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 7.0 | 24.0 |
| TWO RIVERS SUPERVISORY UNION | 16.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 8.0 | 28.0 |
| WASHINGTON CENTRAL SUPERVISORY DISTRICT | 16.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 8.0 | 27.0 |

LEADERSHIP | SUPPORT | OVERSIGHT

| State/SU/SD <br> Name | Physical <br> Development <br> and Health | Social <br> Emotional <br> Development | Approaches to <br> Learning | Communication | Cognitive <br> Development |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| WHITE RIVER <br> VALLEY <br> SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | 17.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 8.0 | 29.0 |
| WINDHAM <br> CENTRAL <br> SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | 16.0 | 17.0 | 18.0 | 8.0 | 29.0 |
| WINDHAM <br> NORTHEAST <br> SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | 16.0 | 16.0 | 17.0 | 8.0 | 26.0 |
| WINDHAM <br> SOUTHEAST <br> SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 7.0 | 26.0 |
| WINDHAM <br> SOUTHWEST <br> SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 26.0 |
| WINDSOR <br> SOUTHEAST <br> SUPERVISORY <br> UNION | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 8.0 | 26.0 |
| WINOOSKI <br> SUPERVISORY <br> DISTRICT | 16.0 |  |  |  |  |

If you have any questions, please reach out to the Early Education Team at michele.johnson@vermont.gov, Vermont Agency of Education, Student Support Services (PreK-8). agency of education

